BEFORE THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
OF THE TOWNSHIP OF EAST MARLBOROUGH
CHESTER COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA

## VOLUME 2

Publichearing held before the East Marlborough Township Board of Supervisors to consider the application of 802 East
Baltimore Pike, LLC.
Said hearing held at the Township
Building, 721 Unionville Road, Kennett Square, Pennsylvania, on January 28, 2019 , beginning at 7:15 o'clock, p.m.

BEFORE: JOHN SARRO, Chairman RICHARD HANNUM, JR.
ROBERT MCKINSTRY
BRUCE JAMESON
JULIA LACY

ALSO PRESENT:
LAURIE PRYSOCK, Township Manager
JAMES HATEIELD, Township Engineer

APPEARANCES:
ROSS UNRUH, Esquire on behalf of the Board of Supervisors JOHN JAROS, Esquire on behalf of the Applicant

ELEANOR J. SCHWANDT, RMR COURT REPORTER
(Mr. Jameson not present at this
time.)
MR. UNRUH: John, Bruce is not
here. Would you agree that he can
participate in the proceedings if he reads
the transcript?
MR. JAROS: Sure.
MS. PRYSOCK: He is coming in about 20 minutes.

MR. UNRUH: Well, he can just read the 20 minutes portion. Unless you want to wait, John, that's up to you.

THE CHAIRMAN: No, let's get
going. Welcome everybody to conditional use hearing for 802 East Baltimore Pike. This will be our second meeting.

Do we want to put a time limit
on this? Last time we said 9:30. Do we want to do 9:45 because we are starting a little late?

MR. UNRUH: Well, I spoke with John. He seemed to think he would finish tonight. Let's see where we are at 9:30. If we maybe have another ten minutes or
something, we might go. But if it looks like we are going to need another hearing we might as well cut it off then and move on to another hearing. Is that satisfactory?

MR. JAROS: Yes.
THE CHAIRMAN: John, how many witnesses do you have?

MR. JAROS: We have two planned witnesses this evening. Mr. Russella is back on behalf of the applicants, as our civil engineer. And then Nicole Kline, our traffic engineer. But we also have representatives from the applicant to answer any other questions.

THE CHAIRMAN: What we will do is let you go through with your witnesses, and then we will question, all the Supervisors will run their questions afterwards.

MR. JAROS: Please, yeah.
THE CHAIRMAN: If that works for everybody.

MR. JAROS: That would be
beneficial $I$ think to our presentation. If the Supervisors wouldn't mind holding their questions until we get through that direct questioning, we would appreciate it. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Ross,
anything else? MR. UNRUH: No, I don't think so.
(Discussion off the record.) MR. JAROS: Ready? MR. UNRUH: I think we are.
(JOSEPH S. RUSSELLA, having
been previously duly sworn, was examined and testified further as follows:)

REDIRECT EXAMINATION
BY MR. JAROS:
Q. Mr. Russella, you were sworn. MR. UNRUH: You were already sworn.
A. Yes.
Q. You were sworn at the last hearing. Good evening. Joe, in response to several issues raised during the first conditional use hearing, which we will get into in a
moment, did you prepare revised conditional use site plans that, for the record, were plotted January 22 nd, 2019 , and which we have marked as Applicant's Exhibit A-17?

## A. Yes.

Q. And I'll go over those. First off, there is an overall site plan, an existing conditions and demolition plan, a grading and utilities plan, a stormwater plan, a lighting plan, a landscaping plan, a truck turning plan, an alternate overall site plan, as well as a second truck turning plan; is that correct?
A. That's correct, yes.
Q. And what you have on the board this evening is the colored rendering that we used at the last hearing, and that was marked as Exhibit what, up in the upper left-hand corner?
A. Exhibit A-5.
Q. Okay. I'm going to ask you to pull out Exhibit A-17, at least the face sheet, the overall site plan, to answer a number of questions. I think it is on the back.

First off, Joe, before I get
into that, can you identify essentially what changed on the plan for the Supervisors?
A. Yes, what we changed on the plan, incorporating the comments in the review letters, was starting from the southwest side where the bank is located, we rotated the bank so that the drive-throughs are on the north side -- or the west side, excuse me, north at the top of the sheet facing Route 1 .

We installed spaces, parking spaces on the three sides, angled spaces on the north and the west side, and perpendicular spaces on the southwest side, two handicapped spaces. We provided sidewalk that connects from Route 1 around the bank, and then around the specimen tree, across a crosswalk at the main entrance driveway, and then that connects to the sidewalk on Royal Farms. We also added a section of sidewalk and a striped crosswalk and a
center island to a landscape island that would allow for sidewalk that connects to the medical office building.

That is primarily the changes that are incorporated into the set that affect the layout with the plan.
Q. Very good. Then I'm going to start with a series of questions $I$ was able to pull from the transcript of the last hearing. And $\quad$ believe Ms. Lacy asked a question with regard to area and bulk calculations based solely within the property in East Marlborough Township. So I'll ask you, did you prepare that and, if so, have we placed it on the plan and could you review that for the record?
A. Yes. So on the plan is shown an expanded East Marlborough Township ordinance, as well as a Kennett Township ordinance, and then the computation that provides for the weighted average.

And on the weighted average it goes through how we arrived at the calculations, but then also within the East

Marlborough Township ordinance there is two items for coverage, one that is just the weighted average that refers to note 1, and then one which is just the coverage that is in East Marlborough Township.
Q. Okay.
A. And that's identified there. We also added on that tabulation, you will see towards the bottom some expanded coverages that pertain to landscaping, the internal landscaping, and setback from Route 1 .
Q. And does the calculation based just on the land in East Marlborough Township show that we exceed any limitations?
A. Well, yeah. For the East

Marlborough portion of the property, it is identified, we identified that where 55 percent is allowable, we exceed that by approximately, we are approximately 66 percent.

MR. UNRUH: Is that coverage?
THE WITNESS: That's coverage, yes.

BY MR. JAROS:
Q. Are there any other area and bulk requirements that are exceeded based just on the land within East Marlborough Township?
A. No, that's the only one.
Q. And just to be clear, the applicant is requesting the Township Supervisors to use a weighted average as they did in a prior application for this property; is that correct?
A. Yes, that's correct.
Q. And when you use that weighted average, then, what does the impervious coverage calculation arrive at?
A. $\quad 47.55$.
Q.
47.55, okay. Another question asked was the size of the fuel tanks.
A. Yes. The two fuel tanks that are located just to the side of the entrance drive, they are 30,000 gallons each.
Q. And are there two?
A. There are two.
Q. Okay. We were also asked how many
parking spaces exist on the two banks just north from our site across Route 1. One is Bank of America?
A. Yeah, that's correct. The Bank of America has 30 parking spaces, and Fulton Bank has 38 spaces.
Q. Were you able to determine the parking ratio for the medical office complex off McFarlan Road to our south in Kennett Township?
A. Yes. We estimated that based upon the approved record plan of five spaces per thousand.
Q. So that medical complex in existence has five spaces per thousand?
A. That's correct.
Q.

Did we propose any revision to the internal connection between the medical office building and the Royal Farms?
A. Yes, we added a connection, an island that has sidewalk in it, with striped crosswalk on either side of the internal island.
Q. Did you prepare a truck turning
template plan or plans? And are they now in the packet set, and can you identify them and explain what they illustrate?
A. Yes. We have a truck turning plan for Royal Farms that shows the maneuvering for a fuel delivery truck, where it would come in off of Route 1, circulate around the pumps to deliver the fuel to the underground tanks, and then exit through the center driveway.

THE CHAIRMAN: That's the second to last page for us, John.
Q. What sheet is that, Joe?
A. That's sheet col.1 or 7 of 7 .
Q. And then there is a second truck turning template plan, and what sheet is that, Joe?
A. That is TP-1.
Q. What does this truck turning template plan illustrate?
A. This truck turning template is a result of a comment from the fire chief which asked us to simulate the largest fire truck, which is from Kennett, Kennett

Borough's fire company, and it shows circulating, coming in from Route 1 around the site, around the bank, around Royal Farms, and exiting, and being shown to safely maneuver around the site in front of the building and behind the medical office building.
Q. Now, also as part of a discussion at the last hearing or at least through one of the review letters -- I'm sorry, I think it was a point raised by Mr. Walker and/or Mr. Hatfield, did you also prepare an alternate plan in the plan set that depicts a reconfiguration of a parking island between the medical office building and the Royal Farms? And can you identify what sheet that is?
A. Yeah, that is also sheet col.1 identified as the Alternate Overall Site Plan.
Q. What does it depict, Joe?
A. This depicts the center island being broken in the middle, such that it closes off an entrance that was shown
closer to Route 1, and moves it further down, in the middle of that island, so that vehicles could then, would then be going straight across in front of the Royal Farms and over to the medical office building.
Q. So we offer this as an alternate configuration of the internal workings of the site to try and address that issue raised at the last conditional use hearing?
A. Yes, that's correct.
Q. Have we provided a copy of the sewer easement agreement from our property and the Bank of America to the north as Applicant's Exhibit $A-25$ in the packet?
A. Yes, we have.
Q. I believe there was a question asked by Mr. McKinstry with regard to whether or not a bike path was accommodated in the sidewalk along the north of our site. And what is the response to that question?
A. We are just providing the five-foot-wide PennDot sidewalk. A bike could ride down that, but it is not
delineated as a bike path. It has been our understanding that it is just a sidewalk as it goes down along the frontage of the properties.
Q. I believe there was a discussion at the last hearing with regard to the landscape buffer to the south of the Royal Farms building. Could you please elaborate on what we are proposing with regard to the current landscape buffer and that area of the plan?
A. So we did some research through -(Mr. Jameson present at this
time.)
MR. UNRUH: Excuse me, Joe. I just want to note for the record that Mr. Jameson just showed up and will be participating in the balance of the hearing. We have just volunteered you to read the part of the transcript up to this point in time.

MR. JAMESON: That's perfect. My apologies.

MR. UNRUH: No, no.

MR. JAMESON: I couldn't get off the phone with a bunch of lawyers in Washington. You know how those lawyers can be .

MR. JAROS: Washington lawyers.
MR. JAMESON: Even worse,
exactly.
MR. UNRUH: Thank you. Okay, Joe.

THE WITNESS: Thank you. So what we depicted on this exhibit, it shows the landscaping on the south side of the bank and also behind Royal Farms. And we researched the Kennett Township ordinance where in Kennett, where a commercial use abuts a residential use or district they require a ten-foot screened buffer. We are providing 20 feet.

And in that buffer they
indicate material that at a minimum has to be 65 percent evergreen material, where we are providing a buffer that would be consistently all evergreen material to provide a more defined buffer.

We also identified on the plan setback distances from the townhouses, and also that there is an established buffer that's open space for the townhouse community that can't be developed that further distances the proposed development from those adjoining residential townhouse properties.

BY MR. JAROS:
Q. So although there was discussion with regard to a provision of the East Marlborough Township Zoning Ordinance with regard to varying buffers between a commercial use and a residential use or zoned residential use, which this is not, correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. We believe or it is the applicant's position that the 20-foot established buffer to the rear of the Royal Farms, coupled with the fact that there is existing open space to the rear or southof our project to the existing townhomes, provides more than an adequate buffer,
sometimes in excess of 150 feet if $I^{\prime} m$ correct?
A. That's correct, yes.
Q. Did you also obtain comments from the Longwood fire chief, Mr. McCarthy, and have we included that in our packet of exhibits as Exhibit A-2 3?
A. Yes, we did. We sent him our plans and we spoke to him about placement of fire hydrants and circulation.
Q. In paraphrasing his comments under Exhibit A-23, would it be fair to state that he did not see any major impediments to circulation for emergency vehicles --
A. $\quad \mathrm{N} \circ$.
Q. -- within the site, and would look forward to reviewing it further at time of land development?
A. Yes.
Q. There was also a question with regard to any environmental cleanup documentation of the site where the old gas station was located. Have we provided documentation to that effect in our
exhibits handed up this evening?
A. Yes. We provided covenants that were previously recorded for the gas station property and property adjoining it to the south, where the former house was.
Q. And are those covenants under

Exhibits A-27 and A-28?
A. Yes.
Q. And again, in your review of that issue with our client, is it fair to say that we believe we can comply with the covenants that were established in constructing this site in the proximity of where the gas station was?
A. Yes.

MR. MCKINSTRY: For the record,
you said 27 and 28. They are actually 28 and 29 .

MR. JAROS: Thank you, Mr.
Mckinstry. You are correct, that is 28 and 29.

BY MR. JAROS:
Q. And is that a fair statement, Joe?
A. Yes, it is. Yes, and as part of
the township engineer's review letter they had asked that we expand upon that regarding the disturbance and regarding maintenance of the environmental controls, in particular, the monitoring wells that are on the property. Through land development and through design of our plans we can demonstrate that the monitoring wells could be adjusted in terms of their height to be maintained so that they can still be used as monitoring wells that are around - -

MR. MCKINSTRY: Where are the monitoring wells? Are they shown?

THE WITNESS: Yeah, the
monitoring wells are shown better on the existing conditions plan. And they are also shown on the grading plan.

So there are locations around
the old gas station where the monitoring wells are located. They could be shown more accurately, $\quad$ can point them out on the plan.

MR. MCKINSTRY: Yeah.

THE WITNESS: So there is a monitoring well that's in this area which is, what is just on the north side of the existing entrance.

MR. MCKINSTRY: Would that be in the parking?

THE WITNESS: That would be in the area of our proposed driveway.

There is also a monitoring well
that is -- I'm going to go to the grading and utilities plan. There is a monitoring well that is shown that would fall within the driveway just to the south of the center entrance island.

There is also a monitoring well
that is to the south, behind Royal Farms. That would be in a grass area that's an embankment.

There is a monitoring well
that's close to the main entrance drive coming into the development. And there is another monitoring well that's in a grass area that's on the north side of the entrance drive.

Those monitoring wells that are there would be maintained through development.

BY MR. JAROS:
Q. So, did we also receive a E-correspondence from Stan Corbett, the engineer for Kennett Township, that we have included in our packet as Applicant's Exhibit A-24, dated January 15th, 2019 ? A. Yes.
Q. If I could read that into the record. "Thanks for the info John.

Assuming the land development plan proposes no improvements in Kennett other than those depicted on the conditional use plan, I would agree that a full Kennett land development plan review would not be appropriate. I still recommend that the land development plan be submitted to Kennett for review to verify Kennett impacts (or lack thereof) and to facilitate coordination with your client/East Marlborough regarding project understanding and next steps. Please let me know if
you, " blank, "any additional questions." think he forgot the word "have."

Is that a fair statement?
A. Yes, it is.
Q. And, Joe, did you prepare response letters to the township consultant review letters, and, if so, did we mark them in our packet as Exhibits A-18 through A-22?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. Lastly, were there any issues of concern in any of your response letters to those township consultant review letters? A. No, there were no issues of concern now or through land development.

MR. JAROS: Very well. Those are all the questions $I$ have for Mr. Russella.

THE CHAIRMAN: All right. We will start down there with Bruce. Bruce, do you have any questions? MR. JAMESON: Since $I$ walked in late, no. Why don't you start with the other end, and once $I$ hear the questions from those who have been here, come back to
me, if you don't mind.
THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Julia?
MS. LACY: Yes. You said that
you had submitted to Longwood Fire Company for review and they have not completed their review, and the letter, and the exhibit says they have not completed their review?

THE WITNESS: That's correct. They alluded to they will have additional comments when a formal land development submission is made, and that what we had provided them with was sufficient for the conditional use component of the application. That's what I understand Chief McCarthy's comments to be.

MS. LACY: And one thing that $I$ would want them to consider is whether or not circulation was needed behind the Royal Farms, even if it were, say, pervious pavers, something like that, if a fire truck needed to circle around that, in case there were a fire at the tanks and they needed to get from side to side or anything
that they would consider. Did he mention anything about that?

THE WITNESS: He didn't mention
anything about that. The tanks, the underground fuel tanks are up around the canopy and they are fully accessible.

MS. LACY: Right.
THE WITNESS: There is only one small side of the Royal Farms convenience store that isn't accessible, but it is within 150 feet from the main or we will call it the easement, the fire lane easement in front of Royal Farms or to the side, anything that would be really considered to be flammable or would be associated with around the fuel canopy, which is entirely circulated.

MS. LACY: Is that something that Chief McCarthy considered as far as being able to access possibly between the building and the fuel tanks with a fire truck or - -

THE WITNESS: I haven't gotten that from him. I gave him this plan. And
again, the fuel tanks themselves are not next to the building but up there. MS. LACY: Right.

THE WITNESS: But he didn't
specifically say that there was any circulation problems. And so that's kind of what $I$ got from him, that he was okay with that and with what we had sent him. He was more concerned with the type of construction of the buildings, which we don't really have yet at this time. So we thought just from a site plan standpoint and from accessibility, and we are providing additional fire hydrants, that that was satisfying his review through, at this level.

So to answer your question, he didn't specifically comment about the need to go all the way around the building. MS. LACY: Okay. That does answer my question. And $I$ did speak very briefly and very conceptually with our chief of police regarding a buffer right up against the corner of the building, from a
security standpoint, as opposed to possibly a fence or something, so that may be something we want to explore, is possibly having that space open or a portion of that, and possibly narrowing the buffer. And from a security standpoint - THE WITNESS: In the rear of the store you are referring to? MS. LACY: Yes. Like actually lessen the buffer there, which is something we would, you know, have to explore and consider. But from a security standpoint, I wanted to mention that $I$ spoke to him and he also thought that that would be a good thing to look at.

THE WITNESS: Okay. And we can certainly look at that, yes.

MS. LACY: And then just
something that might be too detailed for this discussion, but for the drip lines of the specimen trees, is that what is depicted there? Because typically -THE WITNESS: Here and here. MS. LACY: The one next to the
bank, is that, like right on the corner?
THE WITNESS: Yeah. It is
very--
MS. LACY: Not that one.
THE WITNESS: This one?
MS. LACY: Yes.
THE WITNESS: That's the tree
that we had permission to, we got a variance to be removed.

MS. LACY: Okay. Because it would die probably if you were leaving such--

THE WITNESS: Yeah. And when
we made our presentation to the Zoning Hearing Board, that was the explanation we had given them as to why this one needed to be removed, and we did receive approval to do that. The other two are specimen trees to remain.

MS. LACY: Okay. That's all
for now, thanks.
MR. HANNUM: I had some
questions on the sidewalk parameter. Can you help me understand the length of where
construction of the sidewalk is going to begin from the western end all the way to the eastern end?

THE WITNESS: Okay. So the sidewalk that we have shown coming off of the sidewalk from Route 1 is on the west side of the parking spaces.

MR. HANNUM: Okay.
THE WITNESS: And there would be a crosswalk across where it says do not enter, where the bank drive-through traffic would be.

MR. HANNUM: Got it.
THE WITNESS: Then the sidewalk would go in front or on the south side of the bank, run around the bank on the east side, and then would come up the north side, and then cross across a crosswalk to the sidewalk that would pick up on and go around the specimen tree and landscaped island, then across the crosswalk to the south side of Royal Farms, and then all the way down along the frontage of Royal Farms, past Royal Farms to an island on the north
side of Royal Farms crosswalk, through the center of the island between the medical office building, through a crosswalk and then over to the medical office building, and then coming down the sidewalk goes all the way across the frontage of the medical office building, and that's where it ends. MR. HANNUM: But I'm more concerned with the frontage. So where you said it started on the western side, and then it goes all along to the eastern side right to the end of the most eastern entrance; is that right?

THE WITNESS: Yes. And then
there is also the sidewalk that runs all the way down.

MR. HANNUM: Along Route 1 .
THE WITNESS: Along Route 1,
yeah.
MR. HANNUM: What is the width of the sidewalk again? I think you mentioned it.

THE WITNESS: This sidewalk along Route 1 is five feet.

MR. HANNUM: Is there a buffer between, or what is the buffer between the sidewalk and Route 1?

THE WITNESS: Between the sidewalk and Route 1 out on the face of curb?

MR. HANNUM: Yes.
THE WITNESS: That is, $\quad$ can
tell you what that is, it is about 13 feet in front of the medical office building, and then it fluctuates across Route 1, because the sidewalk has some curvature to it, from 10 feet to 15 feet, and then 10 feet, and then in front of the bank it is approximately 13 feet again.

MR. HANNUM: Is that consistent
with the sidewalk on the other side of Route 1 or any other sidewalk along Route 1 in our township? Do you know? I'm talking about the buffer between the sidewalk and Route 1. I feel like it is a little bit more tighter than it might be in other places.

THE WITNESS: Yeah, well,
across the street at the Bank of America the sidewalk is much closer to the road. There is a very narrow grass strip. MR. HANNUM: Yes.

THE WITNESS: Unfortunately, on this drawing it doesn't go down as far as where the Giant is, and I'm not exactly sure what that sidewalk does there. But we took the position to make the sidewalk at least have, instead of being such a straight line, to have a little bit of curvature to it so there could be some grass in front of it and landscaping behind it, to create that buffer along Route 1 .

MR. HANNUM: Okay. And are you proposing crossing areas for your proposed shopping center and crossing over Route 1? THE WITNESS: Yeah, there is
one crosswalk that's proposed which would be on the east side of the entrance, and it is shown on this plan, and it goes across the street to a, this will be a handicapped impression there, and then the sidewalk links up with the sidewalk, and then there
is a section of sidewalk so that you walk across and come into the Royal Farms. MR. HANNUM: Are we going to get into the timing of how folks are going to be crossing that crosswalk? Who is going to be responsible for that testimony? Is that Nicole or is that --

MR. JAROS: We do have Nicole to testify to traffic. If there is a question you have that we don't have the answer to, we will get the answer.

MR. HANNUM: My question is:
Do we have any metrics or have you provided any information on the timing of folks looking to cross over Route 1 from the proposed shopping center to Schoolhouse Road?

THE WITNESS: I think that
would be better answered as part of the traffic study that we did. We didn't do anything with the timing. But $\quad$ know that some work was done with the signal timing and how a crosswalk would work into that. MR. HANNUM: Okay.

MR. MCKINSTRY: Since you are on this, can $I$ ask one question about how this relates to the plan to add an additional lane to Route 1 on your side, I believe.

Oh, you will talk about that? THE WITNESS: I mean, the simple answer on our end is that our plan shows the three lanes and the full widening of Route 1 .

MR. MCKINSTRY: So, oh, it
shows the full widening. I saw that, and I said, boy, that's awful close. But if that includes the widening, then...

MR. HANNUM: I don't have any
further questions at the moment.
MR. MCKINSTRY: I had some
questions about the covenants, not
surprisingly. From the reading of the covenants and the existence of the monitoring wells, there is still groundwater contamination, and the covenants have a restriction to nonresidential use.

Now, one of the things that DEP
would be -- it is a little iffy. This is not residential, but if you have a day care center in your office building, then it becomes much more like residential. So two questions. I mean, there are two ways you can do that. You can just build in vapor, same type of thing you do for radon, you can just put a vapor barrier and stone and stuff like that and you can incorporate that.
The other thing is you could
prohibit daycare, but that probably wouldn't be something that the office building would want.

So would you be willing to
agree to the vapor barriers in your building?
MR. JAROS: I'm going to ask

Mr. Rountree, who spoke on behalf of the applicant at the last hearing and has been sworn, if he would address that.

## MR. ROUNTREE: Thanks for the

question. So $I$ have to check the existing
property lines and whether the proposed MOB is actually on the affected property. But the short answer is we will have performed vapor intrusion testing which would evaluate the potential for vapor to enter a building. And if our consultant felt that there was a risk or a new pathway for risk, when we do our construction we would incorporate a vapor barrier, at a minimum. MR. MCKINSTRY: That's the
question. These are all based on existing conditions and you are adding new buildings.

MR. ROUNTREE: That's right.
That's right.
MR. MCKINSTRY: So a condition to that effect would be acceptable?

MR. ROUNTREE: We would have to understand what the condition was. But we are not only required by DEP to do certain things, but we make it a standard practice to, if that risk is identified, just because there is an old report doesn't mean that risk exists for that building, so we
would have to evaluate that.
MR. MCKINSTRY: Do you have any recent monitoring well data? I mean, is it still, it said it was going down in the -MR. ROUNTREE: No. But we were going to be undertaking that ourselves as we proceed through land development.

MR. MCKINSTRY: I guess you
answered my question. On the turning, I was curious, there is another sheet, following your alternate development, is that the turning plan for the alternate layout? The last sheet in the handout.

THE CHAIRMAN: TP-1.
MR. MCKINSTRY: TP-1, yeah.
THE WITNESS: This turning
template is for the center island that separates the medical office building from the Royal Farms, using the north and south entrances. It doesn't have the connected configuration.

MR. MCKINSTRY: I guess it
looks like you have some of the turning going through a couple of the parked cars
there, I guess, if I'm reading it right.
THE WITNESS: No. The dashed lines represent stacking spaces for the underneath of the canopy, which is a carryover from the gas station use, to demonstrate the amount of parking that potentially could be required.

So we just indicated on this plan how stacking would occur at fuel pumps if every pump was occupied and there were people waiting at every pump.

MR. MCKINSTRY: That's not
parking? Those are just cars waiting for the --

THE WITNESS: They are just waiting, staging spaces.

MR. MCKINSTRY: Okay.
THE CHAIRMAN: If you don't
mind, Bobby. I think one of the concerns is if a fire truck comes in, goes across the front of Royal Farms and has to make a right turn, it shows on our sheet -doesn't quite look like yours -- that there is cars stacked there and the fire truck
won't be able to do that.
Is that the answer you want,
Bobby? Right here Joe, see on ours. So fire truck comes in and there is cars stacked here, how are they going to make a right?

THE WITNESS: Those are the, again, were used for a parking count towards stacking at the fuel pumps. I don't believe that having every pump taken and cars waiting is a representation of what we can expect at the pumps. But just to identify the number of stacking spaces that could occur at each pump.

So they are really not parking
spaces as much as the ability to get to a pump. So in the event of an emergency, having cars waiting there in line at the pump, I don't believe that that condition would interfere with the operations of a fire truck.

THE CHAIRMAN: Well, if you
had, say if Kennett fire responded, they
would come down Route 1, make a right into the center. Say if they have to get to your medical building, they would make a left in front of Royal Farms, and if there is one, two, three cars at the pumps, how are they going to make that right turn?

THE WITNESS: Well, again, if
there were cars waiting here --
THE CHAIRMAN: Right.
THE WITNESS: -- and there was
an emergency, waiting at the pump while there was an emergency would give them the opportunity to move or relocate. They are not physically parked or unpersoned. This is just a representation of what, again, if everybody was getting gas at the same time and couldn't get to a pump, what it would be.

To suggest that this would be
an occurrence, it is just really to
establish a parking number or a space number for the number of pumps.

Again, that's taken from the gas station criteria. If this were just a
gas station without the convenience store, one of the exercises is to demonstrate stacking spaces at the pump, because a gas station doesn't have dedicated parking. So we are showing here that we have parking for the convenience store, as well as satisfying the gas station stacking calculation. And it is really to establish a parking calculation and not to suggest that people are just parking their cars there.

THE CHAIRMAN: Right. I didn't mean to interrupt, Bobby, if you want to follow up.

MR. MCKINSTRY: That's okay.
That's fine.
You said as you understand it,
I think, when you were talking about the sidewalk that it was five feet. I know Kennett Township is looking to do a bike path which would come across this property, I believe, and then cross Route 1. But if it is a five-foot sidewalk and you have ten to 15 feet, it sounds like, of additional
grassy space, so I gather that could be used if we need a bike path?

THE WITNESS: Yeah, there is
space there if we wanted to widen that. The information that we collected didn't suggest, again, a bike path, which is typically eight to ten feet in width rather than a five foot, just a pedestrian PennDot sidewalk.

So if there was the need for a bike path, for that to be widened, it could be accomplished. It could be accommodated. And if that's what is part of the PennDot plans, that's what they want to see, we, again, we can accommodate. The width is there to accommodate.

MR. MCKINSTRY: I believe I
know, I believe that there is a grant application and design pending, is my recollection.

THE CHAIRMAN: Right.
MR. MCKINSTRY: So, good, so it could be accommodated.

What evergreens, you said you
are having evergreen screening, what species are you using?

THE WITNESS: On our landscape plan, which is conceptual in the sense that it is a landscape exhibit to indicate that we can comply with, we haven't picked out species yet. Our landscape architect, and when we go into a land development we will have a landscape plan, and we will pick out species that will provide the year-round screening but are also such that there will be a mixture of variety, so that if anything becomes diseased, that it doesn't wipe everything out.

And typically they use a combination of white pine, Norway spruce, other hardy deciduous plants that provide that buffer and also grow in an environment where there is traffic, runoff from the parking lots, things like that. It is a good urban-type material.

MR. MCKINSTRY: Okay. And real
trees, that's what $I$ was getting at.
THE WITNESS: Real trees, yes.

MR. MCKINSTRY: Finally, Julia Lacy asked you, mentioned the fact that it looks like it is a little bit tight around the drip line of the specimen tree. Will you be employing measures to make sure that you don't have equipment running over that and compacting that?

THE WITNESS: Yes. To expand upon that, too, in the landscape plan there were notes that Lisa Thomas had wanted to protect trees during construction. So we will put those notes on the plan. And, yes, there will be measures to protect them.

But also, we had engaged an arborist who assessed the trees, to also be on board to monitor them during construction.

MR. MCKINSTRY: Okay. Thank
you.
THE CHAIRMAN: You said you are going to be installing two 30,000-gallon gas tanks. Is that more, or less, or normal for a gas station this size?

THE WITNESS: For a gas station of this size that follows Royal Farms' criteria. The one tank that's 30,000 is just for their unleaded gas, and then the other tank has the different grades of gasoline, as well as the auto diesel that they use. So it is broken up into chambers. But for this type of a fuel configuration, that's pretty typical for them.

THE CHAIRMAN: Can you tell us more about the ungradeable area of this property and how you are going to deal with that during construction?

THE WITNESS: The ungradeable?
THE CHAIRMAN: Is there an
ungradeable part due to the ground pollution?

THE WITNESS: Yes, that area, it is not that it is ungradeable. There is a requirement that is identified in the covenants. I'm going to switch over. It talks about where the old gas station was and what has to be monitored.

One of the things is we have to maintain the monitoring wells, and $I$ talked about that earlier. The second thing is that there is DEP requirements that monitor the soil that's removed for the new construction, for foundations, as well as the grading that we need to do to get to that level of construction. So it is more along the lines of restriction that requires approval and oversight from DEP. It doesn't mean that we can't do anything at all.

THE CHAIRMAN: Okay.
THE WITNESS: Let me take that back, except whatever the work that we are doing, this couldn't be used as residential use. It can only remain as commercial.

THE CHAIRMAN: Getting back to your sidewalk out front, in front of the Royal Farms, it looks like bowed the sidewalk in towards the parking to make room for a pylon sign.

THE WITNESS: Yes, at that
location.

THE CHAIRMAN: Now, is that pylon sign behind the ultimate right-of-way line?

THE WITNESS: Yes, it is right behind the right-of-way line.

THE CHAIRMAN: The next
question is, if there is an application for a bike path, is that going to interfere with the --

THE WITNESS: No, I still think
that there is a little bit of wiggle room to accommodate the bike path and still go around the sign.

If it became too tight, the pylon sign could be shifted either into the island area or to the other island. This would be the ideal location, out front in the middle. But there is a little bit of room for relocation.

MR. MCKINSTRY: What is a pylon sign?

THE CHAIRMAN: A bigger sign, it will say Royal Farms and have the gas prices on it.

MR. MCKINSTRY: Oh. But it has like two posts?

THE CHAIRMAN: Pylon, has two posts of pylons.

MR. MCKINSTRY: Like for the gas price, okay.

THE CHAIRMAN: So that all has to be figured out before construction, correct?

THE WITNESS: Yes. We are identifying the location of the sign. THE CHAIRMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: It will be in the front and it will be between, in the grass area in front of Royal Farms.

THE CHAIRMAN: Let me rephrase my question. So then you need to know for sure if there is going to be a future bike path. I don't think you want to move it.

THE WITNESS: No, we wouldn't.

And yes, we would, as we are going through land development, we will have more information from either PennDot or Kennett, in conjunction with what they are proposing
along Route 1, and we would incorporate that into the HOP plans.

THE CHAIRMAN: That will give you enough time to adjust your plans?

THE WITNESS: Yes, $\quad$ believe it will.

THE CHAIRMAN: Ross, do you
have any?
MR. UNRUH: I do not.
THE CHAIRMAN: Planning
Commission? Mr. Walker.
MR. WALKER: I just want to
pick up on Ms. Lacy's questions about the letter from Chief McCarthy, Longwood Fire Company. I think he makes it clear that he can't give a final evaluation until he has reviewed the final plans for compliance with the International Fire Code. Am I -THE WITNESS: That's kind of how $I$ read it. He needed to have a little bit more information.

MR. WALKER: Also, he says that at the time of that final plan review, that's where apparatus access is usually
the major item that gets adjusted.
If the chief concludes that the standards of the International Fire Code would not be met with existing apparatus access, I assume you would be willing to make adjustments to comply with the International Fire Code?

THE WITNESS: Yes. We have taken that into account with the data we have provided and the fire truck circulation that we have shown. MR. WALKER: Great. I just
want to be sure that we are clear that the fire chief hasn't given a final determination, although he hasn't identified a problem at this point. THE WITNESS: Yeah, and we are aware of that. And if there is a concern or a dimensional that he thought that we needed to meet, we could comply with it. MR. WALKER: Thank you.

THE CHAIRMAN: Jim?
MR. HATFIELD: The questions
about the sidewalk along the highway
frontage, at the northeast corner your plan I believe shows the sidewalk coming to your northeasternmost right in/right out access. And then on the far right-hand side, northeasternmost corner of that access you are showing a piece of sidewalk which is just your handicap access ramp, and then it terminates right there; is that correct?

THE WITNESS: Yes is.
MR. HATFIELD: Just beyond that the plan shows a retaining wall; is that correct?

THE WITNESS: It does, yes.
MR. HATFIELD: Can you explain
anything about that retaining wall and whether that's going to remain, or if you know anything about the future of that retaining wall.

THE WITNESS: The retaining
wall is there now because if our plan was to progress without PennDot, we wouldn't be able to modify the embankment. As part of the Penndot plans, they have obtained easements from all the properties to cut
back the embankment. So since our plan is really intending to coincide with the PennDot improvements, that wall wouldn't be there when they did the improvements for Route 1, and that embankment would be cut back.

MR. HATFIELD: Can $\quad$ rephrase the answer to make sure I understood it correctly?

THE WITNESS: Sure.
MR. HATFIELD: Are you saying that PennDOT's improvements for the third northbound lane will end up removing that wall, and if the sidewalk needs to be extended to the north then it could occur at that time?

THE WITNESS: That's correct, yes.

MR. HATFIELD: Thank you. The discussion early on about the impervious coverage calculations and then weighted average, first a statement. We had issued a letter dated today, January 28 th. I don't know that it has been entered into
the record yet. I would like to state on the record that we do not want it entered into the record because some of the comments on here are based on a plan you sent to our office that are not the same as this plan.

THE WITNESS: That's correct.
We had the opportunity to speak to your office and incorporated some additional notes, for instance, the wetland note that wasn't on the plan, and the sight distance lines that weren't on the plan. So we added them after the fact. We also went through and made an adjustment to the weighted average on that.

So we weren't able to get them
back to your office. But we did incorporate them, because they weren't picked up in the letter, and we wanted to have a more complete submission.

MR. HATFIELD: Appreciate that.
MR. UNRUH: You are going to
issue a new letter?
MR. HATFIELD: If it pleases
everyone here, we will issue a revised letter based on this latest plan so that our review addresses the plan that is being discussed tonight, and then that can be entered into the record at what $I$ assume will be another hearing.

So just to revisit the
discussion about weighted average, rather than talking about percentages, can you, looking at your plan, this latest plan, answer the following questions for me:

First, what is the amount of allowable impervious coverage, lot coverage, for the portion of the tract within East Marlborough Township, in acres or square feet, either?

THE WITNESS: Not in terms of percentage?

MR. HATFIELD: Not in terms of
percentage, just a number, an area number.
THE WITNESS: $\quad 126,900$ square feet.

MR. HATFIELD: And that number would be based on 55 percent of the
adjusted acreage within East Marlborough Township; is that correct?

THE WITNESS: That's just 55 percent, yes, of the adjusted tract area. MR. HATEIELD: How much coverage is permitted for the amount of land that's located in Kennett Township? THE WITNESS: 49,257 square feet.

MR. HATFIELD: So if you add those two figures together, that would be the total amount of impervious coverage that would be permitted on the property in total? Is that correct?

THE WITNESS: That's correct, yes. MR. HATFIELD: That total number would be? Help me with the math. THE WITNESS: It is going to be 126 - - I'lluse my -- I'm not good without a calculator.

MR. JAMESON: 126,900 , that's what it shows on the drawing.

THE WITNESS: 126,900 , plus 49, 257 .

MR. JAMESON: Oh, okay.
THE WITNESS: 176,157.
MR. HATFIELD: Correct. Thank
you. And the total amount of impervious coverage proposed by the whole development, irrespective of where it is located, whatever township, what is that number?

THE WITNESS: Total impervious, 152, 292.

MR. HATFIELD: So in round numbers you are permitted 176,000 and change, you are proposing 152,000 and change; is that correct?

THE WITNESS: Yes.
MR. HATFIELD: Now, back up one step. Do you know how much impervious coverage is proposed just within East Marlborough Township?

THE WITNESS: It goes back to the 126,900 .

MR. HATFIELD: That's what is permitted. How much is proposed within East Marlborough?

THE WITNESS: On the calculation, it is expressed in percentage but not in square feet on this plan.

MR. HATFIELD: The percentage on that plan is expressed as 66 percent?

THE WITNESS: 66.01 percent.
MR. HATFIELD: If I took 66
percent times the adjusted acreage, that would be the number. So it would be more than the 55 percent allowed is your testimony?

THE WITNESS: Correct. MR. HATFIELD: All right. So the reason $I$ went through that verbal exercise with you is to try and explain that the total development for the parcel as a total is well underneath of permitted impervious cover, but it is concentrated towards Route 1, on the East Marlborough land, which from a planning purpose seems to make sense. We don't have any technical objection. But ultimately, it is for the Board of Supervisors to grapple with. MR. UNRUH: The weighted
average business?
MR. HATEIELD: Correct.
MR. UNRUH: All right.
MR. HATFIELD: There was discussion about potentially increasing the width of the sidewalk so that it could, I presume, $I$ presume the sidewalk width would be increased so it could serve as a combination sidewalk and bike path?

THE WITNESS: Yes.
MR. HATFIELD: I apologize if I
missed any of the discussion. Was there a minimum width for that combined
sidewalk/bike path that would be necessary?
MR. MCKINSTRY: He said that
normally bike paths are eight feet. He said the sidewalk they have is five feet. And $I$ believe the buffer they have between the sidewalk and Route 1 as it will be developed was between ten and 15 feet.

THE WITNESS: Right.
MR. MCKINSTRY: So there is
room for the full bike path in addition to the sidewalk, is what $I$ calculated.

MR. HATFIELD: Eight plus five,
13 feet total.
MR. MCKINSTRY: In addition to
the five, yeah.
MR. HATFIELD: Do you have any
sense at this time if that bike path were requested and provided what that additional coverage will do to your computations for lot coverage?

THE WITNESS: If it was across the whole lot frontage it may make it go up slightly more. It would make it go up slightly more because the sidewalk is within the right-of-way on our property. MR. HATEIELD: I'm sorry, it is within the right-of-way line on your property?

THE WITNESS: It is on our property, within the right-of-way. So it would go, if we had to include that additional impervious, the number would go up a little bit in terms of our percentage of impervious in East Marlborough.

What that would result in
without doing the calculation, $I$ don't know.

MS. LACY: It would be less
than 10,000 square feet, because you have got about, what, a thousand feet of frontage? And you are adding less than 10 feet.

THE WITNESS: Yes.
MS. LACY: Less than 10,000
square feet.
MR. HATFIELD: So going back to those numbers we were talking about earlier for the total property and what it would support, the total coverage was 176,000 and change. And right now the proposed is 152,000 and change, and if it added 10,000 it would be 162,000 . So it would still be less than the total allowable.

The only reason $I$ bring those
numbers to everyone's attention is if the sidewalk/bike path resulted in some sort of lot coverage issue, it would perhaps be appropriate during this conditional use hearing to discuss whether or not that
additional impervious would be counted against the allowable lot coverage. Just looking at precedent of other decisions. MR. JAROS: If I can just clarify something, Joe, the measurement of the sidewalk and the bike path would be a total of eight feet, correct? Five feet and three feet?

THE WITNESS: Yeah. I'm giving an approximate number.

MR. MCKINSTRY: It is not five feet plus eight feet?

MR. JAROS: Correct.
MR. MCKINSTRY: I was thinking
five feet plus eight.
THE CHAIRMAN: So was I.
MR. MCKINSTRY: 13 feet.
THE WITNESS: No. It would be
eight feet.
MR. MCKINSTRY: It would be
sidewalk plus bike path?
MR. JAROS: Correct.
MS. LACY: That makes more sense.

MR. MCKINSTRY: So that would be three times a thousand, so that would be 3,000 .

MR. HATEIELD: And then my last
question, just asking for some clarification on the discussion about the buffer from the rear of the Royal Farms store to the south. So there was discussion about the existing landscape material between the proposed convenience store and the existing residential units in Kennett Township, some discussion about the horizontal distance between the proposed store, the existing residences.

Can you explain what the vertical relationship is between the proposed store and those residences and the ground in between? Is there a mound? Is there a depression? If the ground drops between, are the trees taller than the drop of the ground? I'm trying to get some sense of - -

THE WITNESS: Sure.
MR. HATEIELD: $\quad-\quad$ does that
existing vegetation block views between the existing residences and the proposed store? THE WITNESS: I understand, Jim. There is two parts to your question. Immediately behind Brandywine Court, shown on the south side of the plan where the commercial parcel juts out, there is an existing berm as a result of the construction of the houses. And then the berm as you move towards the south flattens out such that the change in elevation of the units that are in Concord Court, behind the bank, are almost at ground level and the mature vegetation is much higher.

I had the opportunity to go out there and stand at the units at concord Court about two weeks ago to see if $I$ could see through that, to see what the vegetation, what the buffer was made up of. And $I$ can't see out through to Route 1 . Although $I$ can hear some of the road noise, I couldn't see through.

Back here it was clear that the berm, that the grade is about eight feet
higher to that berm than it is behind the units. Then it is hard really to see through to where our projected Royal Farms would be.

> Now, it is not too far off of where the existing welding shop is. It is slightly higher. But it is hard to see that through that buffer.

So I want to say that maybe 75 percent of behind where the Royal Farms is going, from the Royal Farms over behind the medical office building, that's mounded up. And then further to the south, we are slightly at grade, maybe a little bit elevated as we go, move away from the units, going closer towards our development, but that the vegetation there is pretty dense. MR. HATFIELD: I apologize, I'm not sure what you meant when you say it is "mounded up." Explain that. THE WITNESS: Between Brandywine Court and this piece of ground that sticks out from our parcel that's

Kennett Township, it is a landscape berm as a result of the development, $\quad$ believe, of the townhouses. So this is, this ground is elevated, behind it.

MR. HATFIELD: So if a person
walked out the back of those townhouses on ground, they are going to have to walk up a berm, to the top of the berm?

THE WITNESS: They are going to have to walk up a berm, to the top of the berm.

MR. HATFIELD: On the back side does the berm drop back down on to grade?

THE WITNESS: It drops back
down. It drops back down significantly on the north side. But there is still a change of about six to eight feet on the south side.

MR. HATFIELD: And then as one continues towards Route 1 does the ground rise?

THE WITNESS: It starts to
rise, yes. And then as you get closer to the property line right now, it jumps up
about another four to six feet.
MR. MCKINSTRY: It would be
nice if we could see the topolines.
Because if I'm looking, I was looking at the grading plans to see if we could see that, and it looks like the, I guess these are natural contours, it goes from 420 as you go, it looks like you are going down, it goes from 420 to 410 . But C can't see what it looks like over next to the bank. So, I mean, they are lower. It looks like we are on the topographic high there. I'm not sure.

THE WITNESS: The section of
the plan that you are on --
MR. MCKINSTRY: But $\quad$ can't see anything next to it.

THE CHAIRMAN: C03.1.
THE WITNESS: So on C02.1, and of course, you are right --

MR. MCKINSTRY: C02.1, is that shown there?

THE WITNESS: $I^{\prime} m$ looking at
that plan, the first one, $I$ flipped to it,
shows the existing conditions.
MR. MCKINSTRY: Oh, yeah.
THE WITNESS: But it shows this berm that exists through here. And you are right, it is 410, and the ground does progressively go higher. But right at the property line, this is an existing berm.

MR. MCKINSTRY: I guess I was wondering what it looked like up near the bank and other areas, if that's going to be the - -

THE WITNESS: So what happens is that the berm kind of goes back into the ground, and it just becomes natural grade.

Now, this is slightly higher.
But there isn't a berm in there. It is just a continuous gradual grade up to the, eventually up to the property line. And then in that area is just heavily planted with mature vegetation.

MR. MCKINSTRY: I guess I'm wondering what the sight line is going to be .

MR. HATEIELD: Well, and that
was the nature of my question, to try and ascertain that. And the easiest way to determine that would be to draw a cross-section from the rear of the proposed Royal Farms, and whatever elevation is proposed there, to whichever unit you want to take, examine, the bank to the other unit of that corner.

Now, $I$ raise that as a
question, but, at the same time, $I$ think it is appropriate for the Board and the applicant to discuss the appropriateness of that, that is buffering in an adjacent municipality. I don't know where the responsibilities lie.
But to expand off of Julia's
question about whether or not access behind the Royal Farms store may be something to be examined, well, that might impact the buffering you could put behind it, which may or may not impact the neighbors in the adjoining township, their visual impact of the proposed improvements.
So, I don't know if it is
asking too much to have the applicant submit an approximate cross-section so that those issues could be examined, so the Board can make an informed decision, or whether that's appropriate or not. Maybe that's a question for you, Mr. Jaros.

MR. UNRUH: Do you have any
objection to having a cross-section submitted? It is not unusual.

MR. JAROS: Well, one discussion $I^{\prime} m$ having with my client is the possibility of deferring to land development or the possibility of preparing that cross-section and presenting it to the Planning Commission for comment. But I would assume -- correct me if I'm wrong -- that the Board ultimately would want to see that. I don't mean to say a mountain out of a molehill, but, with all due respect, when those people in Kennett Township or that property decided to be developed and those people moving into those residences, you have to assume that people are aware of their
surroundings and what can be built. And we are talking about a commercial property in East Marlborough Township that has existed for years and years and years.

So, again, I'm not trying to minimize the issue. But $I$ would submit that with the extent of the land between the existing towns and the proposed commercial development, along with established growth, that the issue in my mind becomes less, less of an issue. But the Board can make that determination. MR. UNRUH: It occurs to me it might be more helpful to have it at land development when you actually have a real landscaped plan as a part of the cross-section.

I don't know how you would react to that, Jim. But right now we are kind of a shot in the dark without knowing exactly how that is going to fit into the equation.

MR. HATFIELD: Well, I guess my
reaction is that if the applicant were to
choose not to present a cross-section now, so the issue could be examined on paper, and would defer to land development, then it would seem appropriate that the Board could defer their decision on the widthof the buffer behind the Royal Farms until they have that document to examine. So it is the applicant's
choice. But if that were an open
condition, it could change their layout by 20 feet, which impacts a lot of things, their access, the distance between the store and the pumps. It is sort of a significant planning issue.

MR. MCKINSTRY: And we have
required buffers with berms between residential and nonresidential use all along the north side of Route 1 . MR. HATEIELD: And I'm not disagreeing with Mr. Jaros' characterization that there is significant area, and it is heavily treed and whatnot. But I just don't know enough about the vertical relationship to the land between
the proposed building and existing building. It could be 150 feet away, but if the ground drops 40 feet in that 150 , and the trees are only 30 feet tall, you can see right across the tops of the trees. I don't know that's what it is. But I'm just saying a cross-section would put this issue to rest.

THE CHAIRMAN: I think what the Board needs is assurance that the neighborhood is protected --

MR. MCKINSTRY: Right.
THE CHAIRMAN: -- with a berm and landscaping.

MR. WALKER: Where would you envision the cross-section being done? MR. HATFIELD: I would suggest two areas. The one $I$ think is most important -- Joe, can you put the proposed layout up?

MR. MCKINSTRY: They have three lines actually there that, the distances to the homes.

THE WITNESS: This is the
proposed.
MR. HATFIELD: I'm sorry. So from the rear of the Royal Farms store, in the area of where they have a 340 -foot dimension shown to the units on Brandywine Court, and then maybe one from the bank building, the 225 feet to the rear of the units on Concord Court, that would be my suggestions.

MR. WALKER: With all due respect, Jim, the building, the bank building, the portion of the bank building that is closest to those residences is in Kennett Township.

MR. HATFIELD: That's true.
MR. WALKER: So you can't
buffer that building with buffering in East Marlborough Township.

MR. HATFIELD: That's true.
MR. WALKER: And since the
development in Kennett Township will have to be approved by Kennett Township, wouldn't it be their responsibility to determine what buffering was appropriate?

Particularly since it is their residents who are being impacted?

MR. HATFIELD: Those are all
true statements, and that speaks even to looking at the buffering behind the Royal Farms store. Because East Marlborough's ordinance requires a certain minimum width between commercial and residential, I forget exactly how it is worded, residential use. This is planned residential development, which is like townhouses.

MR. MCKINSTRY: Right, right.
MR. HATFIELD: And the 20 feet
they are providing would be similar to
that. But $I$ guess this is just, because of the different municipalities and the different zonings and the way the buffering ordinance is written in East Marlborough, it is not clear exactly what the standard of care should be, and having the cross-section to look at would at least help answer whether that standard of care has at least been --

MR. WALKER: More information
is always better than less. I don't
disagree with that. But I think it is hard for East Marlborough to take care of the buffering on the bank building because there is no land in East Marlborough. The alternative would be to have to move the building.

MR. MCKINSTRY: Well, that's a problem, though. We are approving a building that goes onto the neighboring township and we have a requirement for buffering. So I'm not sure.

MS. LACY: Well, we are not, we wouldn't be - -

MR. MCKINSTRY: I'm not sure how it works.

MR. UNRUH: I'm not sure
technically you have any obligation to address another township. But be that as it may, I'm sure you want to be good neighbors.

Have you had any contact with the adjacent HOA in terms of maybe being
able to plant on their property to provide an additional buffer?

MR. JAROS: No. The president Of the $H O A$ was in attendance at the last hearing.

MR. UNRUH: I remember.
THE WITNESS: We also in
showing the buffering, I did, as $I$ had mentioned earlier in my testimony, I confirmed with Kennett Township ordinances for a commercial use that abuts a
townhouse, which is different than East Marlborough's, and the requirement for commercial adjoining any residential use or district is 10 feet, screening of 10 feet. And then so we have 20 feet. And in that 10 feet they describe the material, which we have expanded upon just to make a more denser buffer, and to be good, to be better neighbors, not that we are not good neighbors, but to be better neighbors because of the adjoining township and how the parcel relates.

So I want to say that this is a
representation of exceeding what the requirements are for buffering. In addition to that, having an established, mature, open space buffer in the adjoining township further supports that.

So, in arriving at this point,
I want to say that a lot of research has been done before we just threw things on a plan.

MR. UNRUH: Well, you have to
go get some kind of an approval from Kennett, right?

MR. JAROS: We do.
MR. UNRUH: Where are you on
that?
MR. JAROS: We are keeping them apprised of everything we are doing here in East Marlborough.

MR. UNRUH: That gives them an
opportunity to impose --
MR. JAROS: It does.
MR. UNRUH: -- requirements on
you.
MR. JAROS: It does. I've
spoken to my client while this conversation was going on. We contemplate that we are not going to close this hearing this evening, by the mere fact that Mr. Hatfield's office has to issue another review letter.

MR. UNRUH: And we haven't
gotten some of the others either.
MR. JAROS: We haven't. So we contemplate that there will be yet another conditional use hearing. We will prepare the cross-section and submit it to the township between tonight's hearing and the next conditional use hearing.

MR. UNRUH: Okay. I have one other thing to bring up. Are we finished with this witness?

MR. MCKINSTRY: Is Jim done? MR. HATFIELD: I'm done. MS. LACY: Yeah, I had started to say something.

MR. WALKER: Can $I$ make a comment to Ms. Lacy's point, which is that with respect to the buffering around Royal

Farms, there is an access issue there. And it is more than just a buffering issue.

MS. LACY: It could, it is
potentially a safety issue. As I said, I did speak with our chief of police. THE CHAIRMAN: Do you mean for fire truck reach?

MS. LACY: Well, for security.
THE CHAIRMAN: Right.
MS. LACY: And $I$ have not
spoken to Chief McCarthy yet, but it is
something $I$ would want him to consider.
And the differential that $I$ can see is we are talking 428, I don't know what your finished floor is. I'm assuming it is maybe 429. And $I$ don't know the height of the building, but the top of that berm is 426. And you are looking at the existing elevation along here of above 422 . So you are talking about a difference of around six and at the outside eight feet. So consider the heights of the trees. I think a cross-section will be helpful.

But $I$ think there will be significant coordination between Stan Corbett, who is the planner and project manager for Kennett, and he will have an engineer actually review it, and our engineer, and we may have to coordinate with them and see what will be acceptable if we choose to lessen that 20-foot buffer for safety reasons.

MR. UNRUH: What have you
submitted to them? I mean have you submitted a plan for approval?

MR. JAROS: They have seen the conditional use site plan.

MR. UNRUH: Okay.
MR. JAROS: And as read by myself, reading Mr. Corbett's E-correspondence to me, he was initially conceding that he did not contemplate land development was necessary.

MR. UNRUH: Oh, okay.
THE CHAIRMAN: As far as fire truck reach, $I$ know we have been through this before on other land development, is
that an ordinance, that you need 150 feet reach from blacktop to an end of the building? Does anybody - -

MR. HATFIELD: Go ahead.
MR. WALKER: That, the 150 feet
is in the ordinance. But $I$ think you are right, in talking to Jim about it, it appears that the logical interpretation of that is that it is blacktop to the front of the building. It is not necessarily public roadway to the front of the building, although the language is a little ambiguous.

MR. MCKINSTRY: Julia, I was
wondering about the safety that you mentioned. Because you can't get around the back of the whole wal-Mart. The berm comes down and the road only goes part of the way. So --
MS. LACY: Again, this is
something that would have to be explored, and we would need input from the fire chief as to the necessity of that.

MR. UNRUH: He is continuing
his review?
MS. LACY: Yes.
THE WITNESS: AS I understand it.

MR. UNRUH: So far he hasn't red flagged that on the conditional use plan?

THE WITNESS: He has not.
THE CHAIRMAN: We should have that by next hearing, $\quad$ would think. MR. WALKER: No. I think what his letter says is he is planning to do further review after he gets the land development plans.

MR. JAROS: Correct.
MR. WALKER: Now, we could ask him specifically to address that question. MR. HATFIELD: He might not be able to address that question because to answer some of his questions about how much of the perimeter of a building requires primary access is based on the International Building Code, the International Fire Code, and those relate
to the type $O f$ use and the building materials. And some of the information, that actually isn't available because the applicant hasn't gotten that far yet with their architecture.

So I don't know if the chief
can answer those questions in terms of does he need 100 percent perimeter access, or 50 percent perimeter access, or what that number is.

I see Mr. Rountree maybe wants
to add some information here.
MR. ROUNTREE: Yes.
MR. HATFIELD: Please.
MR. ROUNTREE: Royal Farms -MR. UNRUH: Just a minute. Do you mind standing up. She can't see you through your lawyer.

MR. ROUNTREE: Royal Farms is
our tenant for that lot, so we could probably provide enough information to the fire marshal regarding the Royal Farms construction, to get feedback on that issue.

MR. HATEIELD: I would think
that would be the critical building that these Supervisors are concerned about in terms of what is occurring on two sides of the building that are currently not paved. And if the fire marshal is comfortable with perimeter access, then the only other issue is the security access that the East Marlborough police chief has raised. I would think that if you could incorporate a solid fence of some particular height along the property line, with whatever buffering, then the fence would only improve the visual buffer and also perhaps provide the security, if that's necessary.

MR. HANNUM: What are the
proposed hours of a Royal Farms?
THE CHAIRMAN: Forever.
THE WITNESS: They are a
24-hour-a-day operation.
MR. HANNUM: Okay.
THE CHAIRMAN: Is the Wawa up
further, is that 24 hours?

THE WITNESS: Yes.
MR. MCKINSTRY: Yes.

MS. LACY: Oh, yeah.
THE CHAIRMAN: Anymore
questions?
Bruce? Julia?
MR. JAMESON: No, I'm good.

THE CHAIRMAN: Buzzie? Ross?
MR. UNRUH: No. I think we
should probably take a short break for deference to our court reporter. But I do have, I just might want to get this on the record, I reviewed the sewer right-of-way agreement, which $I$ assume is recorded in the chain of title of the bank, the Bank of America, and the grantee is Shamrock Realty Holdings, which was done in 2007 . What I would like to know, and you may not be able to answer now, how do you propose to get this assigned to yourclient, and paragraph 3 has a statement that says: In the event the sewer facilities are not used for a period of 18 months, the easement may be terminated by written notice to the grantor.

And I'm assuming there has been no written notice, and $I$ 'm assuming nothing has been installed, or maybe a conduit has been put in. I don't know what the status of that may be.

MR. JAROS: We will investigate
both questions.
MR. UNRUH: I just want to --
MR. MCKINSTRY: Was that an
exhibit?
MR. UNRUH: You got a Drop Box
type of a thing from Joe and it is in there.

MR. JAROS: It is in here.
MR. UNRUH: Is it in there too?
MR. MCKINSTRY: Just for the record.

MR. JAROS: Hold on a second. It is A-25.

THE CHAIRMAN: A-25.
MR. UNRUH: I copied it off of his Drop Box, whatever you call that. You have a different name other than Drop Box.

THE WITNESS: Share Point.

MR. JAROS: But if the Board
would indulge us, Ms. Kline has been sitting herefor most of the evening, we would like to try and get through her testimony this evening.

MR. UNRUH: I thought for the court reporter we would just take a short break.

MR. JAROS: Absolutely.
THE CHAIRMAN: We will take a ten-minute break, five-minute break. Five to ten-minute break.

MR. UNRUH: Good.
MS. LACY: Five.
(Recess taken.)
THE CHAIRMAN: We are ready to resume.

MR. UNRUH: All right.
Everybody ready?
MR. JAROS: Our next witness is

Ms. Nicole Kline.

NICOLE R. KLINE-ELISER, the witness herein, having first been duly sworn on oath, was examined and testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION
BY MR. JAROS:
Q. Nicole, your full name and business address?
A. Nicole Kline, 835 Springdale Drive, Exton, Pennsylvania. McMahon Associates.
Q. And you are employed by McMahon Associates?
A. Yes.
Q. And you are a registered traffic engineer in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania?
A. I am a licensed Professional

Engineer, as well as a registered Professional Traffic Operations Engineer.
Q. How many years have I been doing this, and $I$ always get that wrong.

Have you testified as an expert
traffic engineer beforethis Board in
previous applications and been accepted as
an expert in your field?
A. I have, many times.
Q. And have we introduced your CV -MR. UNRUH: The Board is
familiar with this witness.
MR. HANNUM: No objection.
MR. UNRUH: What are you
offering her for?
MR. JAROS: Expert traffic
engineer.
MR. UNRUH: Any objection?
MR. HANNUM: No objection.
MR. MCKINSTRY: I would like to
have her CV introduced.
BY MR. JAROS:
Q. We have marked your CV as

Applicant's Exhibit - I think we have two, as a matter of fact. Let me just reference that for a moment. MR. JAMESON: 26 is one of them.
Q. 26 , and we also had you as A-14, so you are doubly important this evening. MR. JAMESON: Which one is
correct and which one is false?
MR. JAROS: Let's go with A-26.
BY MR. JAROS:
Q. Nicole, what was your charge in this particular application?
A. My charge was to complete the traffic impact study for the project.
Q. In line with that, did you, in fact, prepare a traffic impact study that we have marked as Exhibit A-25 in our packet that is your November 9th, 2018 traffic impact study?
A. Yes.
Q. Can you please review an executive summary from the contents of that study, including with your findings and recommendations for the Board?
A. Yes. So our traffic impact study accounted for the development plan before you tonight, which included a 5200-square-foot convenience market with 16 fueling positions, a 3,558-square-foot bank with drive-through facilities, and a 25, 500-square-foot medical office building.

As part of our work on this
project we have coordinated extensively with PennDOT with regard to their U.S. 1 roadway improvement project. We've had several meetings with them, and extensive coordination has occurred, which has
included the township along the way. I'll discuss that a little bit more in detail as we go along. But we have accounted for that project within our traffic study, in detail.

To start the process for our
study we went through the scoping
application process, which both PennDot and the township were a part of, and that process began all the way back in 2014 . Between 2014 and today several coordination meetings have occurred as this plan has evolved and come to the point at which it is before you in the conditional use application.

We have had a number of
meetings with both PennDOT and the township to discuss traffic at length throughout
this process.
The scope of our study includes an evaluation of the existing weekday morning, weekday afternoon, and Saturday midday peak hours, which is typical of a commercial development, as well as projecting conditions into the future for a 2020 build-out year and a 2025 design year, for each of those peak hours, both without and with the development, as mandated by PennDot.

Our study area incorporated the Schoolhouse Road intersection along Route 1, the Onix Drive intersection along Route 1, all of the proposed site accesses, as well as the point at which today the Kennett Oxford Bypass and the Baltimore Pike merge in its existing condition.

The trip generation included in our study for the proposed development was prepared based on the Institute of Transportation Engineers latest edition of the Trip Generation Manual, in accordance with industry standards. The major of the
commercial development proposed here, particularly with regard to the bank and the convenience store with gas uses, they benefit from an adjacent roadway system that generates a lot of traffic. Those types of land uses want to locate themselves along high volume roadways because a majority of the traffic that is generated by those types of uses is what we call pass-by traffic, traffic that is already on the adjacent roadway system that makes this a part of their primary destination.

And you can understand why the bank use and the convenience market with gas generates a very high level of pass-by. And so with that being said, the new trips that would be generated by the proposed development during the weekday morning peak hour, again, 60-minute period, is 223 new trips, which are both inbound and outbound. In the weekday p.m. peak hour -- let me correct myself. The weekday morning is 199 new trips. The weekday afternoon is 223
trips.
MR. MCKINSTRY: This is for everything?

THE WITNESS: This is for one hour for all uses on the property.

MR. MCKINSTRY: Including the office building?

THE WITNESS: Correct. It is everything.

And 260 new trips during the Saturday midday peak hour. Again, those are totals, so that accounts for both the entering and exiting during those peak $60-m i n u t e$ periods.

So through our traffic operations analysis incorporating allof those factors and the proposed development, our analysis and results in our report show that efficient access to and from the proposed development is provided through the plan that is shown, which $I$ will detail the improvements.

Again, the close coordination will continue between the applicant and

PennDOT's Route 1 project team. It is anticipated, based on our most recent meeting that PennDOT requested of the applicant, that these projects are anticipated to coincide in terms of schedule. It does seem to be tracking along very similar schedules, which is good. But we will need to continue that coordination to insure that that occurs. So with respect to the
improvements that are proposed by the applicant in terms of site access, so the primary site access is proposed to be provided as a fourth leg at the intersection of Route 1 and Schoolhouse Road, which will be signalized and is proposed to provide for all movements. MR. MCKINSTRY: So there is now going to be a left turn into Schoolhouse Road again?

THE WITNESS: No. Full
movement for the site.
MR. MCKINSTRY: Okay.
THE WITNESS: Not full movement
for the other side. Thank you for allowing me to clarify that. Full movement for the site.

So in order to accommodate that, a left turn lane will be provided along Route 1 to enter the proposed development.

BY MR. JAROS:
Q. Route 1 southbound, correct?
A. Correct, Route 1 southbound. And a single ingress lane is proposed along this access throat, and two egress lanes, which allows us to provide a dedicated left turn lane out of the site, which aligns properly with Schoolhouse Road.

You see on the plan presented earlier by Joe there is a gore striped area that is striped on the exit approach. That is because Schoolhouse Road provides dual left turn lanes out of the existing approach.

We are not proposing to provide dual left turn lanes out of the site. It is not necessary and, therefore, it is not
appropriate to provide that type of lane configuration unless it is needed.

And then a dedicated through right so that traffic exiting the site, the proposed development, could travel across to School House or to turn right to travel northbound along Route 1 .
Q. Nicole, let me stop you there. With that painted gore, does that give the property owner an opportunity in the future to provide additional lanes, if needed, either left, or would that gore remain?
A. Certainly if a reconfiguration of the lanes were needed for any reason, the applicant would have to go back to PennDot to amend their highway occupancy permit.
Q. Got you.
A. This is the intended configuration which would be the configuration that would be approved, assuming this goes through, through the $H O P$ permitting process from PennDOT, and any modification would require an adjustment to that permit.
Q. Thank you.
A. Mm-hmm. The intersection will
also, for the applicant will also implement traffic signal modifications in order to provide a protected only left, meaning lefts along southbound Route 1 wishing to enter the property will only be able to do so on a green arrow. They won't be able to turn on a green ball. Which means that during the time when northbound Route 1 is green that will not occur. It will be protected only. So there would be a dedicated phase to allow for that movement. Additionally, there are two right in/right out accesses proposed along Route 1 to serve the development.

In addition to the access
improvements there are some additional transportation system improvements that are proposed by the applicant, some of which have been discussed.

One is that there are currently
six separate driveways that serve the subject property, which has been a combination of several parcels,
historically, and we are reconfiguring those driveways to have one main driveway and two right in/right out accesses, demonstrating sound access management principles, and also creating a more pedestrian friendly environment where there is less, less number of crossings between the vehicular traffic and pedestrians.

The applicant also proposes the sidewalk that has been discussed at length through tonight's hearing, which, again, provides that more pedestrian friendly environment, extending those pedestrian amenities to the boundaries of the property.

And it is our understanding
that, although that is not a part of PennDOT's Route 1 project, that they are supportive of that implementation, and we understand that Kennett Township is also pursuing similar improvements.

Finally, by working with the PennDOT U.S. Route 1 team, as $I$ mentioned earlier, the project team and the applicant
has been able to provide significant cost and time saving benefits to both the permitting and the right-of-way acquisition process for PennDot. There were significant improvements as a result of this development plan that PennDot is incorporating into their stormwater management as part of the Route 1 project. It was their intent to simply cut the embankment, but they would have had to account for a lot of the runoff from this property, but with the development coming in they would be able to mitigate that through the on-site stormwater management facilities, and it resulted in, as I mentioned, a significant cost and time saving to their project.

> So with that, there are
extensive access improvements and also additional transportation system improvements proposed by the applicant to mitigate the traffic by the development, and ultimately to the benefit of PennDOT's U.S. Route 1 project.
Q. And you may have covered this, but I'll ask you to go over it again. The existing merge lane from Kennett coming out onto Route 1 changes in what way with the three-lane configuration?
A. So as you can see on the aerial, it actually just falls off of the aerial, so currently as the configuration stands today, the Kennett Oxford Bypass has two lanes that come up through this area and travel northbound along Route 1. Coming out of Kennett there is an on ramp. That is designated as Baltimore Pike.

As it stands today that single
lane onramp coming out of Kennett must merge with the two lanes from the Kennett Oxford Bypass, ultimately resulting in two through lanes at the intersection of Schoolhouse Road.

With implementation of
PennDOT's project, the two lanes that exist from the Kennett Oxford Bypass will remain, and the single lane onramp out of Kennett will become an add lane. And it will
result in three through lanes northbound along Schoolhouse Road, or at the Schoolhouse Road intersection, and, therefore, the Kennett onramp traffic will not be required to merge with the two lanes from the Kennett Oxford Bypass.

THE CHAIRMAN: So you will have a full three lanes after PennDot does their road improvements?

THE WITNESS: That is correct.
And the three lanes will extend in both directions, through the corridor from this location at Schoolhouse Road all the way up through Bayard, and so the entire length of Route 1 through the township will be three lanes in each direction, plus turning lanes.

And PennDot is currently in the right-of-way acquisition process. So they are essentially finalizing design plans, and it is our understanding, based on our most recent meeting with them, which $I$ believe was in November, could have been October -- would have to double check the
date - PennDOT requested that we as the applicant's team come in and meet with them specifically to talk schedule. They let us know that they were in the right-of-way acquisition process and would likely be reaching out to us again to meet somewhere in the February to March timeframe so that they could update us and we could also update them on where we stood on the township approval process. MR. MCKINSTRY: Have you
assessed the impact on Schoolhouse Road? Because while the other stuff probably won't be big draws, the medical building may, people may want to, people already use Schoolhouse Road to get out to Route 1, and as an alternative. So did you look at the impact upstream?

THE WITNESS: We included some
of the site traffic coming in and out via Schoolhouse Road in our study. MR. MCKINSTRY: But you didn't
look further up Schoolhouse Road?
THE WITNESS: We did not look
at any, we were not required in our scope to look at any additional intersections up Schoolhouse Road, no.

BY MR. JAROS:
Q. Nicole, based on your findings and conclusions within your traffic impact study, is it your opinion, then, that the proposed improvements for this development will provide safe and efficient access both to and from the site?
A. It is.
Q. Let me go back. Did you receive a review letter from the traffic, township traffic engineer, Traffic Planning and Design, dated December 14th, 2018 , which we had previously marked as Applicant's Exhibit A-13?
A. Yes.
Q. And did you provide your own response to that review letter from Traffic Planning and Design by letter dated January 28th, 2019 , which we have marked as Applicant's Exhibit A-27?
A. We did prepare said letter for the
transportation impact study section of
TPD's review letter.
Q. And were any issues raised by TPD and discussed in your responses that caused you any concern with respect to traffic planning?
A. There were not. They requested some additional information, which we have either provided in the letter or have responded will comply. And they recognized, $T P D$ recognized in their letter that they believe through a meeting that should be scheduled between the township, their consultants and the applicant's team that it should address the comments above. Q. So, again, based on those comments from Traffic Planning and Design and your responses thereto, your opinion still remains that safe and efficient access is provided?
A. Yes.

MR. JAROS: Those are all the questions $I$ have for Ms. Kline. THE CHAIRMAN: Bruce?

MR. JAMESON: I just want to understand, so the intersection now, it is going to be three lanes the entire stretch of Routel from basically where the Kennett ramp comes on, down to Bayard Road?

THE WITNESS: Correct. MR. JAMESON: Are you going to be allowed after the changes to make aleft turn, if you are going north on Route 1, into the Wal-Mart?

THE WITNESS: No.

MR. JAMESON: You will be allowed, though, to make a left turn into Royal Farms?

THE WITNESS: Correct.

MR. JAMESON: If you are going
south on Route 1 ?

THE WITNESS: Correct. We will
be utilizing the existing concrete median area to incorporate a new left turn lane at that location to enter this site.

MR. JAMESON: So it will be a dedicated left turn lane at the intersection?

THE WITNESS: Correct.
MR. JAMESON: So there will be two through lanes on the southbound side, there will be two through lanes --

THE WITNESS: Three.
MR. JAMESON: -- three through
lanes, and there will be a fourth lane, effectively, which would be the dedicated left turn lane?

THE WITNESS: Correct. This cross-section here, which is where the left turn lane into the site will be provided, will be three northbound through lanes on Route 1. We will maintain a concrete median to divide the directions of travel. We will provide a dedicated left turn lane in the median. And PennDot's project will then have three lanes outside of that. So that will be the cross-section in this area.

MR. JAMESON: In part of this study or what you did or what PennDOT has done has there been an assessments of risk of those left turns lanes? I'm thinking of
based on my purely nonscientific experience, you see a lot of accidents, people making a left turn into the shoppes at Longwood Village, because you have got people flying on Route 1. You are going to have people coming up Route 1 from northbound, plus with the merging traffic. And are there any modifications being made to the signal there to make sure that the northbound traffic doesn't come flying through and cause accidents with people turning left from the southbound lanes? THE WITNESS: Yes. So there are modifications being made to the signal. This design has been preliminarily approved by PennDot. It still has to go through the detailed design process. But as a partof the coordination to date with PennDot's highway occupancy permit unit, they have accepted the design that we have proposed, and have done, we had to submit an extensive crash analysis to PennDot as part of their review, and, again, the end result was that they accepted the design.

One of the items that TPD asked
for them to review this on behalf of the township was that crash analysis that we had been required to provide to PennDot. And as $I$ have stated in the response letter that is included as the exhibit, we will comply and TPD will be able to review that information as well.

But as part of our submission to PennDot, they have accepted that.

MR. JAMESON: And I understand there is going to be a crosswalk. Is that going to be on the north side of the intersection or the south side of the intersection?

THE WITNESS: So that will be on the north side of the intersection. MR. JAMESON: I don't have anything else.

THE CHAIRMAN: Julia?
MS. LACY: Well, I guess I'll
start here: First of all, there is an issue with the weaving for the traffic coming from the onramp, weaving over to the
left turn, left lane in order to get into the turn lanes to go to wal-Mart, and there is a short span for them to be able to do that. I don't know if I'm saying that clearly.

THE WITNESS: No, you are. We were required to include a weaving analysis in our study which has been submitted to PennDOT and the township's traffic engineer, and we received no further comments on that issue. MS. LACY: And my concern is
that, obviously, the timing of the light would change, it would be extended, probably for everyone, because there are just going to be more movements, and coming off of that ramp, $I$ know that $I$ have come Off of that ramp and tried to get over the way it is now, and you have to be really careful, because the space that you have between coming off of that ramp and the light is so short.
If that light is extended,
that's going to create more of an issue.

So there is that concern. I still have that concern. Whether or not the analysis says there is a concern or not, I do have that concern.

The other thing is, now, let me just clarify, right now that onramp is required to merge with those two lanes, and what you are saying now is that basically the two lanes will continue straight and it will create a third lane?

THE WITNESS: Correct.
MS. LACY: So there will be
three lanes?
THE WITNESS: Correct.
MS. LACY: So there won't be the necessity to move over. You can stay in that lane?

THE WITNESS: Correct.
MS. LACY: It will be more of a desire to get over to get to the left turn lanes for the shops?

THE WITNESS: Correct. So there should be more opportunity for people who need to merge and change lanes because
they want to go onto Onix Drive, because they are not competing with all of the traffic on that onramp trying to do that. MS. LACY: Trying to merge. THE WITNESS: Because they have to.

MS. LACY: Exactly, exactly. Although people still try to jump over as soon as they, as soon as they come off that ramp.

So that is still a concern, the stacking, the timing of the light and how that is going to affect the weaving motions. I'm skeptical there from experience.

THE WITNESS: So I just want to address that before we leave that topic. So we are adding one phase to the signal. The exiting movements for this site will happen during the period that Schoolhouse Road gets the time today. So there is no additional time needed there.

But certainly, as $I$ mentioned, for the protected left turn phase to get
traffic from southbound Route 1 into this site, that is a new phase and that will require some additional time to be given. We have minimized that time --

MS. LACY: Right.
THE WITNESS: -- to be
sensitive to giving Route 1 as much time as possible. So there isn't a significant difference in the green time in the pre versus post condition to be sensitive to that issue.

MS. LACY: Right. However, the one direction of traffic that it will affect is the one that $I$ am talking about, plus we will also have the light for the crosswalk, and that would cause a delay in several directions as well, especially considering the distance across the crosswalk.

And believe me, I respect your area of expertise immensely. It is under the umbrella of what $I$ do as well. But $I$ go to you guys when there are these issues. THE WITNESS: Sure.

MS. LACY: I do not attempt to do this type of design myself. So full respect. But I'm going to continue.

Why, or was it, let me ask it this way, was the option explored of, you know, all turns this way, sending this traffic, you know -- well, I'm not going to phrase it properly.

For the southbound traffic, was an option explored to have them turn onto Onix Drive and wrap around, sort of as an all turns this way, kind of like at Greenwood?

THE WITNESS: It was. And it is not feasible for this type of commercial development, or really most development.

MS. LACY: Was it the count?
THE WITNESS: Because an
individual would have to know this site is there before they could even see it. They would have to know to turn onto Onix Drive before they can even see the site to be able to circulate and come in that way. MS. LACY: Unless there were a
sign, This way to Royal Farms.
THE WITNESS: A sign is one
thing. But to not be able to see the site at that point, it is just counterproductive to a commercial development, really of any type.

MS. LACY: But it was explored?

THE WITNESS: Yes.
MS. LACY: Okay. All right.
Then I guess my other question is just what you are showing here as far as the right-of-way acquisition is already incorporated into your design placement of the sidewalk, curbing, etcetera?

THE WITNESS: Correct. It is
not final through the PennDot process yet, to be clear, but they have seen this in conceptual form and it follows all the PennDot requirements and so it does account for PennDot's project and the necessary right-of-way beyond that, yes.

MS. LACY: And regarding that timing, with the construction of your development, not your, of the development
here, I am concerned about access to the site prior to the roadway being improved, prior to Route 1 being improved, as far as, if we talk about widening the lanes, timing of the lights, etcetera, but if the left turn lane was delayed, we would still have some of those other issues if PennDOT were not ready to improve Route 1 for ten years down the road and this site was going to be developed within two years. I guess you have discussed that that with them?

THE WITNESS: We have, in great detail. And PennDot has made it very clear and the applicant has recognized that a full movement access to this site at the intersection of Route 1 and School House cannot be provided without PennDot's Route 1 widening project. And so it will be conditioned on the PennDOT permit that a full movement access will only be able to be provided with that project.

So that let's say unexpectedly
PennDot's project is delayed significantly. Well, then, for the applicant to get access
they would have to modify their plan and accept something less than full movement access.

We haven't prepared those plans
to this point because everything is
trending on the same schedule. So we do anticipate, unless something drastically changes on PennDOT's part, that these projects will come through together. But PennDOT has already made it very clear that PennDot's Route 1 widening project is required to be able to provide this full movement access.

MS. LACY: Okay, good. And
also, do we have a copy of the traffic impact study? I'm not seeing it in what I have here.

MR. JAROS: It is in your --
MS. PRYSOCK: First set.
MR. UNRUH: First set.
MS. PRYSOCK: From the last
time.
THE WITNESS: I believe it is Exhibit A-15.

MR. JAROS: Thank you.
MS. LACY: Oh, from the materials we received.

MR. MCKINSTRY: The first set. Here it is.

MR. JAROS: Just for the record, the study submitted with the previously marked exhibits from the first hearing does not contain the full appendices. As with a stormwater management report and the like, they are very, very voluminous documents, so $I$ had Ms. Kline bring one full copy of the traffic impact study which I'll leave with Ms. Prysock.

MR. UNRUH: Oh, thank you. THE WITNESS: If you are
looking for reading materials to sleep by, I highly encourage you read it. MS. LACY: Yes. MR. JAROS: The movie is coming out.

MR. MCKINSTRY: Fast and
Furious.

MR. UNRUH: For most of you, you will find the executive summary will be just fine.

MS. LACY: For us nerds, I'll take it home and read it.

THE CHAIRMAN: Good, Julia?

MS. LACY: Yes, that's all I
had. Thanks.
THE WITNESS: Thanks.
THE CHAIRMAN: Buzzie?
MR. HANNUM: So knowing that
there is going to be movement on Route 1 , if you can just help me understand the stacking on Route 1 and the left turn lane to make the entrance into the proposed property, and then also exiting the property, the stacking in the left turn lane heading southbound on Route 1 . THE WITNESS: Sure. Sothat's covered extensively in our report, of course.

MR. HANNUM: Yes.
THE WITNESS: But the lengthof the left turn lane for traffic traveling
southbound on Route 1 to enter the property is 130 feet, plus the taper, which the study shows is more than adequate to accommodate the queue.

And I believe similarly, the exit approach also provides 130 feet of stacking space, which again the queues, the 95 th percentile queues, which only happen 5 percent of the time, more than accommodate or are accommodated within that spacing.

MR. HANNUM: How about traffic for folks coming to the medical office, are there going to be any directional signs for those folks? I'm concerned about the amount of traffic or the folks that are coming in, particularly in the morning, to get to the medical office with folks coming into the Royal Farms. Is there going to be any additional signage for directional folks for, hey, medical office, third entrance? Which seems to me like the most economical route for them to get to where they need to go.

THE WITNESS: Sure. Perhaps

Joe can speak to that more specifically.
I'm sure the signage plan hasn't been laid out to any detail yet. I would certainly expect there to be signage for each access to some degree to direct motorists to the most convenient use. But I'm not sure if Joe is showing any of that type of signage on the plan yet.

MR. HANNUM: From your opinion as a traffic expert, what is the recommended route for that individual going to the medical office? Are you suggesting that they go to use the third entrance?

THE WITNESS: I think that would be the most logical, and particularly as a patron has visited this site more than once, they are going to learn that, well, I've got three through lanes here, I'm just going to stay on Route 1 until $I$ get here and can go into the property.
And similarly for the exit
movement, if you are traveling north on Route 1, you're most likely going to utilize this access point.

MR. HANNUM: Can you help me, I think $I$ had the question earlier about the pedestrian crossing, just can you describe that in a little bit more detail.

THE WITNESS: Sure. So a
crossing is proposed on the north side of the intersection to cross Route 1. A full detailed design will be completed as part of the PennDOT HOP process. But what we are required to provide in terms of the timing of the light is assuming that a pedestrian crosses at three and a half feet per second. And as typical of signalized intersections, the pedestrian crossing at this location will occur when Schoolhouse Road and the exit approach for the proposed site access has the green. Drivers will be, signage will indicate to drivers that are turning that they are to yield to pedestrians in the crosswalk.

And certainly there, at this type of crossing location of a wide roadway, there will be extensive signage and warning devices. Everything will be

ADA compliant. There will be hand man count-down equipment to let the pedestrian know how much time they have, when they should cross, when they should not cross, all in accordance with PennDOT criteria. All of that will be fleshed out through the PennDOT HOP process, but those are the rules and regulations that we are required to follow.

MR. HANNUM: Are there any
waiting areas for the pedestrians for them to walk? And then $I$ assume they can make it all the way across Route 1 and there wouldn't be any need for a waiting area at the median on Route 1?

THE WITNESS: That is correct. The timing of the light will provide for that pedestrian to cross the entire width. We don't want to strand anyone in the center of Route 1 .

MR. HANNUM: So at the waiting areas, if I'm a pedestrian getting ready to cross, there is an opportunity to signal that I'm waiting?

THE WITNESS: Correct.

MR. HANNUM: I need to get
across?

THE WITNESS: Correct.

MR. HANNUM: It will be
striped, I'm assuming, obviously not shown
on the plan, but it will be painted,
striped? Or are you guys proposing any
different type of, other than striping?
THE WITNESS: No. Being a
major PennDot roadway, they would
discourage any type of alternative pavement
surface. But this will be what we call
highly visible painted crossing, and that will be done with appropriate thermoplastic so that it is not susceptible to kind of the fading and things that can happen with a regular pavement paint.

MR. HANNUM: Does the traffic
signal, now that we are proposing the left in off of Route 1, and the left out of this property, does it change anything from traffic signals and timing of signals down along Route 1 heading southbound - - or
northbound?
THE WITNESS: That's a great question. We were required to evaluate the adjacent signals. Because, again, we are really only adding one phase, and we are not changing the timing significantly, and in coordination with PennDot's project, which is also, again, widening through this entire section, they have evaluated the signals in detail and agreed that there aren't any other changes needed to signals along this corridor.

MR. HANNUM: I don't have any other questions.

MR. MCKINSTRY: I share Julia's concern since $I$ make that left turn probably two, three times a day just to go home.

And I guess one of my concerns is you now are creating some sort of conflict between the stacking to turn left onto Onix Drive, which is also a left turn onto Schoolhouse Road, and the left turn lane that you are putting. There is only
so much space in there.
Is that diagram that $I^{\prime} m$ seeing, are they extending the Onix Drive left turn lane? Because that already can be a little bit iffy in terms of filling up.

THE WITNESS: We are not
changing the Onix Drive left turn lane at all. And because we are maintaining a concrete median divider, there won't be an opportunity for this queue to spill into that lane.

That was an initial question
from Penndot. They actually asked us to evaluate, can't you take some of the storage away from Onix Drive. And through working with Gerry, we demonstrated to PennDot that that storage is needed for Onix Drive.

MR. MCKINSTRY: Oh, yes, yes.
THE WITNESS: It is a very
heavy left turn movement. And we don't need any additional storage than what we are able to provide within that median, so
that's completely separate.
MR. MCKINSTRY: MY other question is that median making it more difficult to get over because it already, particularly if somebody is coming down, coming down the bypass, a lot of times it is very difficult to get over when you are coming out of Kennett Square or from Hockessin on that to make the left-hand turn there.

THE WITNESS: Sure. This configuration will change with PennDot's project, and we will just be laying in a dedicated left turn lane into the site within PennDOT's line. It may be - MR. MCKINSTRY: I was wondering about - MR. UNRUH: Wait. One at a time. One at a time. THE WITNESS: That movement may get a little bit easier. I think with PennDot's project they are proposing to make a little bit smoother of a transition from the three northbound through lanes,
and then it opening up for that turn lane into Onix Drive. So that their project may actually be helping that condition.

MS. LACY: I have one, John, if I might.

THE CHAIRMAN: Sure, Julia.
MS. LACY: One quick question
just about the right turn movement out of that, from Royal Farms onto Route 1. If that would be made a no right turn on red? THE WITNESS: We will have to evaluate that. A no right turn on red is typically implemented when you don't have proper sight distance to be able to see. Now, certainly, it is a shared through lane, so any traffic to school House would block that. But that will be part of the detailed PennDOT design process as to whether a right turn on red can be provided or not. We don't need a right turn on red for it to function. So that's certainly something that will be investigated. But if there is some strong comment with regard, we will take that into
consideration.
MS. LACY: My concern is the pedestrians and then the two left turn lanes while that is red.

THE WITNESS: Yep, understood.
MS. LACY: Just wanted to throw that out there real quick.

THE CHAIRMAN: Everybody good?
When was your last traffic study complete?
THE WITNESS: Our traffic study
is dated for November 9th, 2018 . One of the comments from TPD was requesting us to do an updated traffic count at the Route 1/Schoolhouse Road intersection because it has been a few years. And so we have agreed and that's a will comply. So we will do that.

I don't expect anything will
drastically change, and $I$ think later in TPD's review letter they state, again, they do state, as $I$ mentioned earlier, that they believe all the comments can be addressed, so I think they have the same sentiment. But we will work through that
and if there is any change then we will have to take a look at it.

THE CHAIRMAN: One of my concerns is the new car wash come spring. I mean, I'm sure traffic is going to pick up at the car wash come April, May, June. Do you know when your next study will be done? Will that incorporate their more busier time of the year?

THE WITNESS: That was a part of TPD's comment. So we can hande that a couple different ways. We could wait. The applicant would not prefer to wait. We have the ability to add trip generation based on industry accepted data. We can layer that on to traffic counts that we do sooner, in good weather, to account for added traffic from the car wash, to be able to expedite that process.

So that's something that we can work through with TPD. But, obviously, the land development process is going to take us far beyond that. So I don't think there would be an issue with waiting until the

April timeframe to do that count.
THE CHAIRMAN: Maybe end of
April, May, around there.
THE WITNESS: Yes. So we can coordinate with TPD on that, see what they are comfortable with.

THE CHAIRMAN: If we could take one pause, Julia, you mentioned you have a family obligation you have to get to. Are you okay?

MS. LACY: Do you think we will be wrapping up?

MR. UNRUH: I think we are
getting pretty close.
THE CHAIRMAN: A couple more
comments. Are you okay?
MS. LACY: Thanks, John.
MR. UNRUH: I don't have any.
MR. JAMESON: I have one
follow-up quickly. I just want to
understand the relationship between the approval we are being asked to give and PennDOT's improvements. And this may be for John or someone else as opposed to you.

But is Royal Farms' ability to go forward with their construction project conditioned on PennDot performing the improvements on Route 1?

THE WITNESS: There is nothing conditioned at this time. But what we, as I had testified to, what we expect is that PennDOT will condition the highway
occupancy permit on requiring completion of PennDot's Route 1 roadway project in order for this site to open a full movement access at Schoolhouse Road.

We are not at the point where we have conditions with PennDOT yet. But based on our extensive coordination with them, we expect that to be a condition. MR. JAMESON: By full movement access you mean the ability to make the left turn onto Route 1?

THE WITNESS: And the left in off of Route 1 . MR. JAMESON: And the left in off of Route 1 . THE WITNESS: Correct.

MR. JAMESON: So theoretically, if PennDot for some reason didn't go forward with its improvements, if we provide the approval being granted here, Royal Farms would be able to go forward, still engage in the construction or complete the construction, it just wouldn't have its left turns. Is my understanding correct?

THE WITNESS: Correct. We would have to demonstrate to PennDOT, as well as the township, that access, another access scenario can be provided. But what I expect that would look like is that there would be no left in. The left out still in question because it happens with Schoolhouse Road. But we would have to do a new analysis to demonstrate that before PennDOT would issue a permit.

MR. JAMESON: All right.
That's what $I$ want to understand. You said you would have to do a revised analysis to satisfy us. And that's what $I$ want to understand.

If we give the conditional
approval now, and maybe someone can help me with this if I'm missing something here, could they go ahead and build the facility regardless of what PennDot does, or not?

MR. JAROS: I'm sorry, I was --
THE CHAIRMAN: I think they
would have to redo -- correct me if I'm wrong -- they would have to redo their traffic study.

MR. JAROS: Correct.
MR. JAMESON: Okay.
THE CHAIRMAN: To meet the conditions of the road.

THE WITNESS: The conditional use plan would change.

MR. JAMESON: Fair enough. So the answer is if PennDOT doesn't go forward with the improvements, you would have to come back to us with a revised plan and in order to be able to do the construction. MR. JAROS: Typically, and
that's what $I$ was talking to my client about, Mr. Jameson, is that typically this

Board will approve conditional use based on testimony and plans submitted in support of the application. And right now that testimony and plans are showing a full access movement.

If, in fact, that were to be modified for whatever reason, I assume that would require us to come back and amend our conditional use application before the township.

MR. MCKINSTRY: We can put that in as a condition, that it doesn't move forward.

MR. JAMESON: That answers my question. Everything is premised on those improvements occurring.

So to me it would be a very different project to go forward without those improvements. And I think what I just heard, $I$ think Mr. Jaros agrees.

MR. JAROS: Yes.
MR. UNRUH: They are proceeding on the risk that PennDOT improvements will come to fruition.

MR. JAMESON: I'm good.
THE CHAIRMAN: Bobby?

MR. MCKINSTRY: Yes, John's
question raised another question in my mind. When you did your traffic study, you know there is a 150-unit development going in on Schoolhouse Road. Were the traffic movements from that included in the Route 1 ?

THE WITNESS: I'm just confirming in the study. I believe that particular development, so we included the CJK Investments, 180 townhomes.

MR. JAROS: 150 .

THE WITNESS: And we also accounted for - -

MR. MCKINSTRY: Actually fewer than 180 .

THE WITNESS: That's what it was at the time, so we overinflated that.

MR. MCKINSTRY: Okay.

THE WITNESS: We include the 180, and we also included some future growth along schoolhouse Road to account
for some additional plans that may come along Schoolhouse Road at 100 single-family homes. So that has already been incorporated into the results of the study that $I$ mentioned tonight, which alleviates I think some of the concern about the car wash.

But, again, we understand where TPD's comment is coming from there and that's a will comply.

THE CHAIRMAN: Yeah, because I believe this will be the cash wash's first spring open, because they opened late last year.

THE WITNESS: So a little bit of an unknown at this point.

THE CHAIRMAN: Right. Cuyler?
MR. WALKER: Nicole, I just
wanted to follow up, $I$ don't expect you to remember chapter and verse, but about five years ago $I$ attended a meeting at PennDot where $I$ believe you presented some preliminary thoughts on this, with another supervisor, and the township manager, and
representatives of Kennett Township, to talk about the issues. We talked in some detail about the issues that Mr. Mckinstry and Ms. Lacy raised with respect to the impact on the traffic, particularly the traffic that's coming out of the borough that's going to have to merge.

The other thing we talked about, though, was the possibility that particularly during peak times around the holiday season, when Longwood Gardens has a lot of traffic, that you could get stacking at the Schoolhouse Road intersection that would back up closer to the almost 90-degree turn coming out of the bypass, and the concern was that the traffic on the bypass may have been driving for tens of miles at a highway speed limit, has no visual perception of what is ahead of them now that they are coming into intersections with stop lights, and $\quad$ recall there was discussion about adding substantial signage maybe at or on the overpass bridge which goes into the borough for that traffic
coming northbound on Route 1, lights, flashing lights, signs, other things to say, to make it clear that there was a significantly different traffic pattern coming ahead of them, so that hopefully they would slow down before they started around that curve.

Do your plans include those types of warnings and notifications to drivers?

THE WITNESS: They do not at this time. I do recall that conversation. And as part of TPD's review letter, recent review letter, they mentioned that a meeting with, again, township staff, township engineer and the applicant's team should resolve their outstanding comments. And I believe that is their intent as part of those conversations, is to discuss that very item.

MR. WALKER: Thank you.
THE CHAIRMAN: Jim?
MR. HATFIELD: Nicole, in your
introductory remarks you mentioned that the
bank and the Royal Farms, a significant portion of their site generated traffic is actually existing trips that bypass, or pass by I guess is a better term, from Route 1 to use those facilities and then continue on their way.

So my question is, as opposed to the medical office building, which $I$ presume is a more of a destination-driven type of experience?

THE WITNESS: Correct.
MR. HATFIELD: People trying to get there and go back to wherever they came from.

THE WITNESS: Correct.
MR. HATFIELD: So my question is, the number of southbound Route 1 left turns into the site, do you know what the percentage of those left turns are to the medical office building as opposed to the other two uses?

THE WITNESS: I do. Let me refer to the details in the appendix to answer that question. There are assignment
figures shown in the boring, technical appendices of our study which outline for each individual use and for each individual access where the trips are entering and exiting, and that's both for new trips and for pass-by trips, because although they are on Route 1 today, they become turning movements in and out of the property, and that has to be accounted for in our analysis.

So we do show very detailed trip assignment information for the various pieces within the appendices of our study. You can just imagine, it is quite lengthy and so I'll need to find those numbers here.

MR. UNRUH: Well, while Nicole is working, why don't we talk about possibly another date so Nicole doesn't feel rushed.
(Discussion off the record.)
MR. UNRUH: Are we all set on
the lith? Okay. This hearing will be continued until Monday, February 11th, 7:30
p.m.

MR. MCKINSTRY: 7:00 or 7:30?
MS. LACY: 7:00.
MR. UNRUH: Did I say 7: 30?
I'm sorry. 7:00 o'clock, here.
Okay. Now, actually, have you
moved for the admission of any of your exhibits? Are we doing that at the next hearing?

MR. JAROS: I was going to do
that at the close of the presentation.
MR. UNRUH: That's fine. As
long as you remember, I'm good for that. Okay. We are done for tonight.

MR. JAMESON: Before we go off,
did she get an answer to his question?
MR. UNRUH: That's right. I'm sorry.

THE WITNESS: That's okay. 50
percent. So we assumed half of the
entering traffic would utilize that left turn lane.

MR. HATFIELD: Okay. And so am
I correct in understanding that the change
in operation of that intersection is it is going from basically a two-phase signal, where you have green on Route 1, then green on School House, to a three-phase, where it will be green on Route 1, then green on School House and your entrance, and then green further for protected left turn into the site?

THE WITNESS: Correct.
MR. HATFIELD: That green for
the left turn $I$ assume would also occur with a green for southbound Route 1. But the northbound Route 1 movement would have a longer red time to allow that left turn? THE WITNESS: Correct. MR. HATFIELD: Do you know at this point in time, when northbound Route 1 gets its green cycle, will the left turn into the site be under a red bulb?

THE WITNESS: It will. I think
I mentioned earlier as $I$ went through the improvements in detail through the traffic study that that left turn into the site will be protected only, which means it can
only occur on a green arrow when northbound Route 1 is stopped.

MR. HATEIELD: Thank you.
THE WITNESS: Yes.
MR. HATEIELD: So there will be
an increase in the red time for the
northbound traffic. I believe your phrase was something akin to not a significant difference to the northbound operation. What is that quantifiable difference in terms of either level of service or length of delay?

THE WITNESS: So to answer that
question have to do a quick review of what the existing amount of green time is versus the post condition green time, which is all included in the work sheets in the appendices of our study. But it is a different answer for each peak hour, because the timing of the light is different in each peak hour. There will be multiple timing plans to serve that signal during different periods of the day. MR. HATFIELD: Let me ask the
question a different way which maybe will eliminate your need to look it up and reduce our time here. Is there a reduction in the level of service?

THE WITNESS: There is a
reduction in level of service. It still operates acceptably, but there is some additional delay associated with the changes at the intersection.

MR. HATFIELD: All right. When you say "acceptably," what is the current level of service and what is the proposed level of service?

THE WITNESS: So the existing overall levels of service at the intersection, again, there is a letter grade for each peak hour, in the morning it is an $E$ as in elephant, in the afternoon it is a $C$ as in cat, and on the Saturday midday it is a $B$ as in boy.
Ultimately, with completion of

PennDot's project and the development of this site and associated improvements, in the 2025 conditions, which are our ultimate
design year, the overall levels of service are C as in cat for all three peak hours. So in some cases it gets better. In some cases there is some additional delay. MR. HATFIELD: Okay. THE WITNESS: Again, that's existing to future, with everything completed.

MR. HATFIELD: Thank you. I understand.

Regarding the pedestrian crossing, you mentioned that pedestrians will be permitted to cross at the same time that School House and your site entrance has their green cycle. Did $I$ understand that correctly?

THE WITNESS: Yes.
MR. HATFIELD: So if there is a
pedestrian that wants to cross, the people turning left from southbound School House onto northbound Route 1 would have to wait for those pedestrians to clear? THE WITNESS: Correct. MR. HATFIELD: Does that
adversely affect the level of service for that Schoolhouse Road left turning movement?

THE WITNESS: Only if there would be a significant number of pedestrian crossings during a peak hour.

MR. HATFIELD: Do you know at what point the number of pedestrian crossings would necessitate incorporating an additional cycle for pedestrian movements?

THE WITNESS: No.
MR. HATFIELD: Is there such a
thing that exists in terms of looking at traffic signals?

THE WITNESS: No, that's not typically how it is looked at. There isn't a hard and fast standard rule for implementation of a pedestrian-only signal phase, which is what you are referring to. That would be addressed by PennDOT on a case-by-case basis. And generally they try to avoid those types of phasings, because it does eat up a significant amount of time
serving the intersection. So they will only implement those where absolutely necessary.

MR. HATFIELD: Okay. And then
last, there were some questions from some of the Supervisors about traffic to and from Schoolhouse Road, I think especially as it relates to people trying to get to and from the medical office building. Do you recall what percentage of trip distribution you assigned to Schoolhouse Road?

THE WITNESS: I think it was relatively low. I can double check and make sure $I$ have the correct number.

Again, this is covered in the trip assignment information included -MR. HATFIELD: Let me ask it different.

THE WITNESS: I think it is 5
percent, 5 percent in and out.
MR. HATFIELD: 5 percent. And was there any exception or question of that percentage assignment from TPD in their
review of your study?

THE WITNESS: No.
MR. HATFIELD: Okay. Thank you.

THE WITNESS: Thank you.
MR. JAROS: For the record,
before we close tonight, you will find in your packet under the stormwater, A-30, reference to the full report. I'm going to hand that $u p$ to the township manager. Again, that's a $130-\mathrm{page}$ report, $A-30$. That's all $I$ have.

THE CHAIRMAN: One more quick question. Will we be getting a review letter from TPD then?

THE WITNESS: They issued a review letter in December. We just prepared a response letter today. So, yes, there is some additional information we need to provide to TPD. We will do that and then $I$ would expect them to issue another letter to show that several of the comments have been addressed.

THE CHAIRMAN: Okay.

MR. JAROS: We would like to
request, if at all possible, if the township consultants could, if they are going to issue another review letter perhaps they could do it by the 11th.

MR. HATFIELD: If we get the
latest Exhibit A-17 plans tomorrow, we will have the letter out within a week.

MR. RUSSELLA: And the
cross-section, we will try to get that in there too.

MR. HATFIELD: Yeah, if we get that a couple days before the hearing we can look at that.

MR. RUSSELLA: Okay.
MR. HATFIELD: This can be off
the record. I guess for the cross-section, as long as it shows the line of sight relationships between the residential units and the proposed use and anything in between, which means if you know the ground, right, and approximate elevation for trees and can interpret one line of sight, that's what is important to
consider.
 your evening.
(Proceedings recessed at 9:55
p.m. until February11, 2019 .)
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| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 230:5, 230:9, 230:15, } \\ & \text { 231:18, 231:23, } \end{aligned}$ | 157:11, 204:2, 204:3, | 151:21 | $7: 30[3]-273: 24,$ | $242: 3,246: 23,247: 3$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 231:18, 231:23, } \\ & \text { 232:8, 232:24, 233:4, } \end{aligned}$ | 213:1, 213:5, 268:14 |  | 274:2, 274:4 | $\begin{aligned} & 248: 20,249: 12, \\ & 258: 24,260: 14, \end{aligned}$ |
| 233:11, 234:15, | 152,000 [2] - 188:13, |  | 8 | 262:18, 265:5, 266:21 |
| 235:16, 238:4, 238:9, | 192:16 | 3 [1]-217:20 |  | above-captioned [1] |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 238:17, 239:14, } \\ & 240: 5,240: 6,245: 1, \end{aligned}$ | 152,292 [1] - 188:10 | 3,000 [1] - 194:3 | $\begin{aligned} & 802[2]-134: 6, \\ & 135: 15 \end{aligned}$ | $-286: 12$ |
| 245:7, 248:3, 248:8, | 15th [1] - 154:9 | [1]-222:22 | 135:15 $835{ }_{[1]}-220: 9$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { absolutely } \\ 219: 9,280: 2 \end{array}$ |
| 248:16, 248:18, | 162,000 [1] - 192:17 | 30 [2] - 143:5, 204:4 |  | abuts [2]-148:16, |
| 249:11, 251:12, | 176,000[2]-188:12, | 30,000 177.3 | 9 | 208:11 |
| 252:1, 253:19, 253:23, $254: 7$, | 192:14 ${ }^{176,157}{ }_{[1]}-188: 3$ | 30,000-gallon [1] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 90-degree [1] - } \\ & \text { 270:15 } \end{aligned}$ | acceptable [2] - |
| 255:13, 255:15, | 18[1] - 217:22 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 176:22 } \\ & \text { 30th [1] - 286:16 } \end{aligned}$ | 270:15 95th [1]-252:8 | 168:17, 212:7 acceptably ${ }^{[2]}$ - |
| 255:20, 256:21, | $268: 18,268: 23$ | $\text { 340-foot }[1]-205: 4$ | 9:30 [2]-135:18, | 277:7, 277:11 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 256:24, 260:9, 264:4, } \\ & \text { 264:10, 264:19, } \end{aligned}$ | $199[1]-225: 23$ |  | 135:23 | accepted [5] - |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 264:21, 264:23, } \\ & \text { 268:9, 271:1, 272:5, } \end{aligned}$ | 2 | 4 | 9:55 [1]-283:12 9th [2]-222:11, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 240:24, 241:10, } \\ & \text { 262:15 } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 272:17, 273:7, 275:3, } \\ & \text { 275:5, 275:12, } \end{aligned}$ | $2[1]$ - 134: | 4/5/2007 [1] - 285:4 | 261:11 | access [38]-157:20, |
| 275:13, 275:17, | $\begin{gathered} 20[6]-135: 9 \\ 135: 11,148: 18 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{4 0}[1]-204: 3 \\ & \mathbf{4 1 0}[2]-198: 9,199: 5 \end{aligned}$ | A | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 181:24, 182:5, 183:3, } \\ & \text { 183:5, 183:7, 200:17, } \end{aligned}$ |
| 276:2, 278:21 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 135:11, 148:18, } \\ & 203: 11,206: 14, \end{aligned}$ | $420 \text { [2] - 198:7, } 198: 9$ |  | 203:12, 211:1, |
| 1/15/19 [5]-284:12, | 208:16 | 422 [1]-211:19 | A-13 [1] - 236:17 | 214:22, 215:8, 215:9, |
| 284:14, 284:16, | 20-foot [2] - 149:19, | 426 [1] - 211:18 | A-14 [1] - 221:22 | 216:7, 216:8, 226:19, |
| 284:19, 285:3 | 212:8 | 428 [1] - 211:14 | A-15 [1] - 249:24 | 227:12, 227:13, |
| 1/18/19 [1]-284:21 $\mathbf{1 / 2 1 / 1 9}$ [1] - 285:13 | 2007 [1] - 217:16 | 429 [1] - 211:16 | A-17 [4]-138:4, | 228:12, 230:16, |
| 1/21/19 [1]-285:13 | 2014[2]-223:16, | 47.55 [2] - 142:16, | 138:22, 282:7, 284:1 | 231:4, 232:19, 236:9, |
| 1/25/19 [1]-284:23 | 223:17 | 142:17 | A-18 [2] - 155:8, | 237:19, 248:1, |
| 1/28/19 [1] - 285:6 <br> 1/Schoolhouse [1] - | 2018[3]-222:11, | 49,257 [2]-187:8, | 284:12 | 248:15, 248:20, |
| 261:14 | 236:15, 261:11 | 188:1 | - 20 [1]-284:14 | 248:24, 249:3, 249:13, 253:4, |
| 10 [6] - 163:13, | 138:3, 154:9, 236:22, | 5 | A-21 [1] - 284:19 | 253:24, 254:17, |
| 192:6, 208:15, 208:17 | 283:13, 286:12, | 5 | A-22 [2] - 155:8, | 264:12, 264:18, |
| 10,000 [3]-192:4, | 286:17, | 5 [4]-252:8, 280:20, | 284:21 | 265:12, 265:13, |
| 192:9, 192:16 | 2020 [1]-224:8 | 280:21, 280:22 | A-23 [3]-150:7, | 267:5, 273:4 |
| 100 [2] - 215:8, 269:2 | 2025[2]-224:8, | 50 [2]-215:8, 274:19 | 150:12, 284:22 | accesses [3] - |
| 11 [1] - 283:13 | 277:24 | 5200-square-foot [1] | A-24 [2]-154:9, | 224:15, 230:14, 231:3 |
| 11th [3] - 273:23, | 220 [1] - 284:6 | - 222:21 | 285:3 | accessibility ${ }_{[1]}$ - |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 273:24, 282:5 } \\ & \text { 12/10/18 [1] - 284:15 } \end{aligned}$ | 223[2]-225:20, | $55[4]-141: 17$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { A-25[5]-146:14, } \\ & \text { 218:19, 218:20, } \end{aligned}$ | 158:13 accessible [2] - |


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 157:6, 157:10 } \\ & \text { accidents [2] - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 220:8, 237:15, 244:17 } \\ \text { addressed }[3] \text { - } \end{gathered}$ | allows [1] - 228:13 <br> alluded [1]-156:10 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 272:23 } \\ & \text { Applicant }[1] \text { - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { architecture }{ }_{[1]} \text { - } \\ & 215: 5 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 240:2, 240:11 } \\ & \text { accommodate }[6] \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 261:22, 279:21, } \\ & \text { 281:23 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { almost [2] - 195:13, } \\ & 270: 14 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 134:20 } \\ \text { applic } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { area }[23]-140: 11, \\ & 42: 2,147: 10,153: 2, \end{aligned}$ |
| 174:15, 174:16, | addresses [1] | ALSO [1] - 134:14 | 136:14, 142:7, | 153:8, 153:17, |
| 179:12, 228:4, 252:4, | 186:3 | Alternate [1] - | 167:21, 200:12, | 153:23, 177:12, |
| 252:9 | adequate ${ }_{[2]}$ | 145:19 | 201:1, 202:24, 215:4, | 177:19, 179:16, $180 \cdot 15,186: 20$, |
| 146:18, 174:12, | adj | 138:11, 145:13 | 227:12, 229: | 187:4, 199:19 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 174:23, 252:10 } \\ & \text { accomplished }[1] \text { - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 200:13, 207:24, } \\ & 225: 4,225: 11,257: \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 146:6, 169:11, } 169: 12 \\ & \text { alternative }[3] \text { - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 230:2, 230:19, 231:9, } \\ & \text { 231:24, 232:21, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 203:22, 205:4, } \\ & \text { 224:12, 228:17, } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 174:12 } \\ & \text { accordance [2] - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { adjoining [6] - 149:7, } \\ & \text { 151:4, 200:22, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 207:7, 235:17, } 256: 12 \\ \text { ambiguous [1] - } \end{gathered}$ | 248:14, 248:24, 262:13 | $\begin{aligned} & 233: 10,238: 20, \\ & 239: 20,245: 21, \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 224:23, 255:5 } \\ & \text { account }[5]-182: 9, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 208:14, 208:22, 209:4 } \\ \text { adjust }[1]-181: 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 213:13 } \\ & \text { amend }[2]-229: 16, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { applicant's }[5] \text { - } \\ \text { 149:18, 203:8, 235:2, } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 255:14 } \\ & \text { areas [5] - 164:16, } \end{aligned}$ |
| 1, 247:19 | adjusted [5] - 152:9, | 267 |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { 262:17, 268:24 } \\ \text { accounted [4] - } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 182:1, 187:1, 187:4, } \\ & \text { 189:8 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { amenities [1] - } \\ & \text { 231:14 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Applicant's }[6] \text { - } \\ \text { 138:4, 146:14, 154:8, } \end{gathered}$ | 255:11, 255:22 arrive $[1]-142:$ |
| 222:19, 223:9, | adjustment [2] - | America [5] - 143:3, | 221:17, 236:16, | arrived [1] - 140:23 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { 268:16, 273:9 } \\ \text { accounts }[1]- \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 185:14, 229:23 } \\ & \text { adjustments }[1] \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 143:5, 146:13, 164:1, } \\ & 217: 15 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 236:23 } \\ & \text { APPLICANTS } \end{aligned}$ | arriving [1]-209:6 <br> arrow [2]-230:7, |
| ```226:12 accurate [1] - 286:10 accurately [1] -``` | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 182:6 } \\ & \text { admission }[1]- \\ & 274: 7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { amount [8]-170:6, } \\ & \text { 186:12, 187:6, } \\ & \text { 187:12, 188:5, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 284:10, } 285: 1 \\ & \text { applicants }[1]- \\ & 136: 11 \end{aligned}$ | ```276:1 ascertain [1] - 200:2 assessed [2] -``` |
| 152:22 | adversely ${ }_{[1]}$ - 279:1 | 252:15, 276:15, | application [10] - 134:6, 142:10, | $176: 16,235: 12$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { acquisition }[4] \text { - } \\ 232: 3,234: 19,235: 5, \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AECOM [2] - 284:21, } \\ & \text { 285:3 } \end{aligned}$ | 279:24 <br> analysis [9]-226:16, | 134:6, 142:10, <br> 156:15, 174:19, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { assessments [1] - } \\ & \text { 239:23 } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 247:12 } \\ & \text { acreage }[2]-187: 1, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AECOM's } \\ & 284 \cdot 15 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 226:18, 240:22, } \\ & \text { 241:3, 242:7, 243: } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 179:7, 222:5, 223:14, } \\ & 223: 21,267: 3,267: 9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { assigned [2] - } \\ \text { 217:19, 280:11 } \end{array}$ |
| 189:8 | aerial [2]-233:6, | 265:18, 265:22 | applications | assignment [4] - |
| acres [1] - 186:15 | 233:7 | 273:10 | 220:24 | 272:24, 273:12, |
| ADA [1] - 255:1 | affect [4]-140:6, | angled [1] - 139:14 | appreciate [2] | 280:17, 280:24 |
| add [5]-166:3, | 244:13, 245:14, 279:1 | answer [20]-136:15, | 137:4, 185:21 | Assoc [1] - 285:6 |
| 187:10, 215:12, | fected [1] - 168:2 | 138:23, 158:17, | prised [1] - 209:17 | associated [3] |
| 233:24, 262:14 | afternoon [3] | 158:21, 165:11 | approach [4] - | 157:16, 277:8, 277:23 |
| added [6]-139:23, | 224:4, 225:24, 277:18 | 166:8, 168:3, 171:2 | 228:18, 228:21, | Associates [2] - |
| 141:8, 143:20, | afterwards [1] - | 184:8, 186:11, | 252:6, 254:1 | 220:10, 220:12 |
| 185:13, 192:16, | 136:20 | 206:23, 214:20, | appropriate [8] - | assume [8] - 182: |
| 262:18 | ago [2]-195:17, | 215:7, 217:18, | 154:18, 192:23, 200:11, 201:5, 203:4, | 186:5, 201:16, |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { adding }[5] \text { - 168:12, } \\ \text { 192:6, 244:18, 257:5, } \end{gathered}$ | 269:21 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 266:18, 272:24, } \\ & \text { 274:16, 276:13, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 200:11, 201:5, 203:4 } \\ & \text { 205:24, 229:1, 256:15 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 201:24, 217:13, } \\ & \text { 255:12, 267:7, 275:11 } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 270:22 } \\ & \text { addition [4] - 190:23, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 154:16, 167:17 } \\ & \text { agreed }[2]-257: 10, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 276:19 } \\ & \text { answered }[3]- \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { appropriateness }{ }^{[1]} \\ & -200: 12 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { assumed }[1] \text { - } \\ & 274: 20 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 191:3, 209:3, 230:16 } \\ & \text { additional [24] - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 261:16 } \\ & \text { agreement }[3] \text { - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 165:19, 169:9, 283:8 } \\ \text { answers [1] - } 267: 14 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { approval [8] - } \\ \text { 160:17, 178:10, } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { assuming }[7] \text { - } \\ \text { 154:13. } \end{array}$ |
| 155:1, 156:10, | 146:12, 217:13, 285:4 | ticipate [1] - 249:7 | 209:11, 212:1 | 218:1, 218:2, 229:20, |
| 158:14, 166:4, | agrees [1] - 267:20 | anticipated [2] - | 235:10, 263:2 | 254:11, 256:6 |
| 173:24, 185:9, 191:7, | ahead [4]-213:4, | 227:2, 227:5 | 265:4, 266:2 | assurance [1] - |
| 191:21, 193:1, 208:2, | 266:4, 270:19, 271:5 | apologies [1] - | pprove [1]-267 | 204:10 |
| 229:11, 230:17, | akin [1] - 276:8 | 147:23 | approved [4] | attempt [1] - 246:1 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 232:20, 236:2, 237:8, } \\ & 244: 22,245: 3 \end{aligned}$ | aligns [1]-228:14 | apologize [2] 190:11, 196:19 | $\begin{aligned} & 143: 12,205: 22, \\ & 229: 20,240: 15 \end{aligned}$ | attendance [1] - |
| 252:19, 258:23, | allow [3] - 140:2, | apparatus [2] - | approving [1] - | attended [1] - 269:21 |
| 269:1, 277:8, 278:4, | 230:12, 275:14 | 181:24, 182:4 | 207:10 | ttention [1] - 192:20 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { 279:10, 281:19 } \\ \text { additionally [1] - } \end{gathered}$ | allowable [4] | APPEARANCES ${ }_{[1]}$ <br> 134:17 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { approximate }[3] \text { - } \\ & \text { 193:10, 201:2, 282:22 } \end{aligned}$ | $\text { uto }[1]-177: 6$ |
| additionally 230:13 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 141:18, 186:13, } \\ & \text { 192:18, 193:2 } \end{aligned}$ | 134:17 appendices [4] - | 193:10, 201:2, $282: 22$ April [3]-262:6, | available [1] - 215:3 average [9]-140:21, |
| address [8] - 146:8, | allowed [3] - 189:10, | 250:10, 273: | 263:1, 263:3 | 22, 141:3, 142:9, |
| 167:22, 207:20, | 238:8, 238:13 | 273:13, 276:18 | borist [1] - 176 | 142:14, 184:2 |
| 214:17, 214:19, | allowing [1] - 228:1 |  | architect [1] - 175:7 | 185:15, 186:8, 190:1 |


| ```avoid [1] - 279:23 aware [2]-182:18, 201:24 awful [1] - 166:13``` | ```197:4, 200:17, 200:20, 203:6, 206:5 beneficial [1] - 137:1 benefit [2] - 225:4, 232:23``` | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 260:17 } \\ & \text { board [2] - 138:15, } \\ & \text { 176:17 } \\ & \text { BOARD }_{[1]}-134: 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 203:16 } \\ & \text { build }[3]-167: 7, \\ & \text { 224:8, 266:4 } \\ & \text { build-out }[1]-224: 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 140: 12,140: 24, \\ & 184: 21 \\ & \text { calculator }[1]- \\ & \text { 187:21 } \\ & \text { cannot }[1]-248 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B | benefits [1] - 232:2 <br> berm [17]-195:8, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 134:18, 160:15, } \\ & \text { 189:23, 200:11, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 214:23 } \\ & \text { building [47] - 140:3, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { canopy [3] - 157:6, } \\ & \text { 157:16, 170:4 } \end{aligned}$ |
| balance [1] - 147:18 <br> ball ${ }_{[1]}-230: 8$ <br> Baltimore ${ }_{[45}$ - | 195:10, 195:24, 196:1, 197:1, 197:8, 197:10, 197:11 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 201:4, 201:17, } \\ & \text { 202:12, 203:4, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 143:19, 145:6, 145:7, } \\ & \text { 145:15, 146:5, 147:8, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { captioned [1] - } \\ & \text { 286:12 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 134:6, 135:15, | 197:13, 199:4, 199:7, | 220:23, 221: | 158:19, 158:2 | 262:6, 262:18, 269:6 |
| 224:17, 233:13 | 199:13, 199:16, | 222:17, 267:1, 283:5 | 162:3, 162:4, 162:7, | [4]-167 |
| Bank [6] - 143:3, | 204:13, 211:17, | Bobby [4]-170:19, | 163:10, 167:4, | 206:21, 206:23, 207:4 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 143:4, 143:6, 146:13, } \\ & \text { 164:1, 217:14 } \\ & \text { bank [23] - 139:8, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 213:17 } \\ & \text { berms [1] - 203:16 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 171:3, 173:13, 268:2 } \\ \text { boring }[1]-273: 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 167:15, 167:18, } \\ & \text { 168:6, 168:24, } \end{aligned}$ | careful [1] - 242:20 <br> carryover [1] - 170:5 |
| 139:9, 139:19, 145:3, | 165:19, 207:2, | 270:24 | 196:12, 204:1, 204:2, | 170:13, 170:2 |
| 148:13, 160:1, | 208:19, 208:2 | Borough's [1] | 205:7, 205:11, | 1:4, 171:11 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 161:11, 161:16, } \\ & \text { 163:14, 195:13, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 272:4, 278:3 } \\ \text { between }[35]- \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 145:1 } \\ & \text { bottom [1] - 141:9 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 205:12, 205:17, } \\ & \text { 207:5, 207:8, 207:11 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 171:19, 172:5, 172:8, } \\ & 173: 10 \end{aligned}$ |
| 198:10, 199:10, | 143:18, 145:15, | boundaries [1] - | 211:17, 213:3, | se [3] - 156:22, |
| 200:7, 205:6, 205:11, | 149:13, 157:20, | 231:14 | 213:10, 213:11, | 279:22 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 205:12, 207:5, } \\ & \text { 217:14, 222:22, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 162:2, 163:2, 163:4 } \\ & \text { 163:20, 180:14, } \end{aligned}$ | bowed [1] - 178:20 Box [3] - 218:11, | 214:21, 215:1, 216:2, 216:5, 222:24, 226:7, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { case-by-case }[1] \text { - } \\ & 279: 22 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { 225:2, } 225: 15,272: 1 \\ \text { banks }[1]-143: 1 \\ \text { barrier }[2]-167: 9, \end{gathered}$ | 190:18, 190:20, 194:10, 194:13, 194:16, 194:18, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 218:22, 218:23 } \\ & \text { boy }[2]-166: 13, \\ & 277: 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 235: 14,272: 8, \\ \text { 272:20, 280:9 } \\ \text { buildings }[2] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { cases [2]-278:3, } \\ & 278: 4 \\ & \text { cash }[1]-269: 12 \end{aligned}$ |
| 168:9 | 194:20, 195:1, | Brandywine ${ }^{[3]}$ | 158:10, 168:1 | cat [2]-277:19, |
| barriers [1] - 167:17 based [18]-140:12, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 196:22, 202:7, } \\ & \text { 203:12, 203:16, } \end{aligned}$ | $195: 5,196: 23,205: 5$ | built [1] - 202: <br> bulb [1] - 275: |  |
| 141:12, 142:3, | 203:24, 206:8, | 219:8, 219:11, 219:12 | bulk [2] - 140:11, | nter [11] - 140:1 |
| 143:11, 168:11 | 210:13, 212:2, | bridge [1] - 270:23 | 142:2 | 4:10, 145:22, |
| 185:4, 186:2, 186:24, | 223:17, 226:24 | iefly [1] - 158:22 | nch [1] - 148 | 3:14, 162:2 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 214:22, 224:21, } \\ & \text { 227:2, 234:21, 236:5, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 231:7, 237:13, } \\ & \text { 242:21, 257:21 } \end{aligned}$ | bring [3]-192:19, | busier [1]-262:9 <br> business [2] - 190 | 164:17, 165:16, |
| 237:16, 240:1, | 263:21, 282:19, | broken [2] - 145:23, |  | $\begin{aligned} & 167: 4,1 \\ & 255: 20 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { 262:15, 264:15, } 267: 1 \\ \text { basis [1]-279:22 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 282:21 } \\ & \text { beyond }[3]-183: 10 \text {, } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Buzzie [2]-217:7, } \\ & \text { 251:10 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { certain }[2]-168: 20, \\ & 206: 7 \end{aligned}$ |
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| directions [3] 234:12, 239:15, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 259:6, 271:6 } \\ & \text { drastically }{ }_{[2]} \text { - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 157:13, 217:22 } \\ \text { easements }{ }_{[1]} \text { - } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 172:11, 172:12 } \\ \text { employed }[1] \text { - } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Esquire }[2]-134: 18 \text {, } \\ & \text { 134:19 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 245:17 disagree [1] - 207:3 disagreeing ${ }_{[1]}$ - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 249:7, 261:19 } \\ & \text { draw }[1]-200: 3 \\ & \text { drawing }[2]-164: 6, \end{aligned}$ | 183:24 <br> easier [1] - 259:21 <br> easiest ${ }_{[1]}$ - 200:2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 220:11 } \\ & \text { employing }[1] \text { - } \\ & \text { 176:5 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { essentially [2] - } \\ \text { 139:2, 234:20 } \\ \text { establish [2] - } \end{gathered}$ |
| 203:20 <br> discourage ${ }_{[1]}$ - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 187:23 } \\ & \text { draws }[1]-235: 14 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { east }[2]-161: 16, \\ & 164: 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { encourage [1] - } \\ & \text { 250:19 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 172:21, 173:8 } \\ \text { established [5] - } \end{gathered}$ |
| 256:12 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { drip }{ }_{[2]}-159: 20, \\ & 176: 4 \end{aligned}$ | EAST ${ }_{[1]}-134: 2$ <br> East [26]-134:5, | end $[9]-155: 23$, | 149:3, 149:19, |
| 200:12, 223:8, | Drive [11]-220:9, | 134:6, 135:15 | 166:8, 184:13, 213:2, | estimated [1] |
| $223: 24,271: 19$ discussed [5] - | $\begin{aligned} & 224: 14,244: 1, \\ & 246: 11,246: 21 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 140: 13,140: 18 \\ & 140: 24,141: 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 240:23, 263:2 } \\ \text { ends [1] - 162: } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 143:11 } \\ & \text { etcetera [2]-247:14, } \end{aligned}$ |
| 186:4, 230:20, | 257:22, 258:3, 258:8, | 141:13, 141:15 | gage [1] - 265: | 248 |
| $231: 10,237: 4,248: 11$ discussion [13] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 258:16, 258:19, 260:2 } \\ & \text { drive }[6]-139: 9, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 142:4, 149:11, } \\ & \text { 186:15, 187:1, } \end{aligned}$ | engaged $[1]$ - 176:15 engineer [11] - | $\begin{gathered} \text { evaluate }[5]-168: 5, \\ \text { 169:1, } 257: 3,258: 15, \end{gathered}$ |
| 145:8, 147:5, 149:10, | 142:21, 153:20, | 188:18, 188:24 | 136:12, 136:13, | 260:12 |
| 159:20, 184:20, | 153:24, 161:11, | 189:19, 191:23 | 154:7, 212:5, 212:6 | evaluated [1] - 257:9 |
| 186:8, 190:5, 190:12, | 222:23 | 202:3, 205:17, 206: | 220:15, 220:23, | alua |
| 194:6, 194:9, 194:12, | drive-through [2] - | 206:19, 207:4, 207:6 | 221:10, 236:14 | 181:16, 224:3 |
| 201:11, 270:22 <br> Discussion [2] | 161:11, 222:23 drive-throughs [1] - | $\begin{gathered} \text { 208:12, 209:18, 216:8 } \\ \text { eastern }[3]-161: 3, \end{gathered}$ | $242: 10,271: 16$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { evening [9] - 136:10, } \\ & \text { 137:22, 138:16, } \end{aligned}$ |
| $137: 9,273: 21$ <br> diseased [1] | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 139:9 } \\ & \text { driven }[1]-272: 9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 162:11, 162:12 } \\ \text { eat }[1]-279: 24 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 134:15, 220:18, } \\ & 220: 19 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 151:1, 210:4, 219:3, } \\ & \text { 219:5, 221:23, 283:11 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 175:13 | drivers [3]-254:17, | economical [1] - | engineer's [1] - | event [2]-171:18, |
| distance [5] - | 254:18, 271:10 | 252:22 | 152:1 | 217:20 |
| 185:11, 194:13, | driveway [5] | edition [1] - 224:22 | Engineers [1] | entually ${ }_{[1]}$ |
| 203:12, 245:18, | 139:21, 144:10, | effect [2] - 150:24 | 224:22 | 199:18 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 260:14 } \\ & \text { distances [3] - } \end{aligned}$ | 153:8, 153:13, 231:2 driveways [2] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 168:17 } \\ & \text { effectively }[1] \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { enjoy }[1]-283: 10 \\ & \text { enter }[6]-161: 11 \text {, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { evergreen }[3] \text { - } \\ & \text { 148:21, 148:23, 175:1 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 149:2, 149:6, 204:22 distribution [1] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 230:22, 231:2 } \\ & \text { driving }[1]-270: 17 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 239:8 } \\ & \text { efficient [3]-226:19, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 168:5, 228:6, 230:6, } \\ & 238: 21,252: 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { evergreens [1] - } \\ & \text { 174:24 } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 280:11 } \\ & \text { district [2] - 148:16, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { drop [2] - 194:20, } \\ & \text { 197:13 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 236:9, } 237: 19 \\ & \text { egress }[1]-228: 12 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { entered }[3]-184: 24 \text {, } \\ & \text { 185:2, 186:5 } \end{aligned}$ | evolved [1]-223:19 exactly [7]-148:7, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 208:15 } \\ & \text { disturbance }{ }_{[1]} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Drop [3]-218:11, } \\ & 218: 22,218: 23 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { eight [10]-174:7, } \\ & \text { 190:16, 191:1, 193:7, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { entering [3] - 226:13, } \\ & \text { 273:4, 274:21 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 164:7, 202:21, 206:9, } \\ & 206: 20,244: 7 \end{aligned}$ |
| 152:3 | drops [4] - 194:19, | 193:12, 193:15, | entire [4]-234:14 | EXAMINATION ${ }_{[2]}$ |
| divide ${ }_{[1]}-239: 15$ | 197:14, 197:15, 204:3 | 193:19, 195:2 | 238:3, 255:18, 257:9 | 137:15, 220:5 |
| divider [1]-258:10 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { dual }[2]-228: 19, \\ & 228: 23 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 197:17, 211:22 } \\ & \text { either }[8]-143: 22 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { entirely }{ }_{[1]}-157: 17$ | $\text { examine }[2]-200: 7 \text {, }$ |
| document [1] - 203:7 documentation [2] - | 228:23 <br> due [3]-177:17, | 179:15, 180:23, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { entrance [14] - } \\ & \text { 139:21, 142:20, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 203:7 } \\ & \text { examined }[5] \text { - } \end{aligned}$ |
| 150:22, 150:24 | 201:20, 205:10 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 186:16, 210:8, } \\ & 229: 12,237: 9,276: 11 \end{aligned}$ | 145:24, 153:4, | 137:13, 200:19, |
| documents [1] - | duly [2]-137:13, | 229:12, 237:9, 276:11 | 153:14, 153:20, | 201:3, 203:2, 220:3 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 250:12 } \\ & \text { done [11] - 165:22 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 220:3 } \\ & \text { during }[13]-137: 23 \end{aligned}$ | elaborate [1] - 147:8 <br> Eleanor [2]-286:7, | $\begin{aligned} & 153: 24,162: 13, \\ & \text { 164:20, 251:15, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { exceed [2] - 141:14, } \\ & \text { 141:18 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 204:16, 209 | 176:11, 176:1 | 286:21 | 252:21, 253:13, | exceeded [1] - 142:3 |
| 210:18, 210:19, | 177:14, 192:23, | ELEANOR ${ }_{[1]}$ - | 275:6, 278:14 | exceeding [1] - |


| 209:1 except ${ }^{11]}$ - 178:15 exception [1] - | expedite [1] - 262:19 experience [3] 240:2, 244:15, 272:10 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 212:22, 214:5, 215:4, } \\ \text { 247:11, 248:3, 262:23 } \\ \text { Farms [44]-139:22, } \end{gathered}$ | filling [1] - 258:5 final [5] - 181:16, 181:17, 181:23, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { flying }[2]-240: 5, \\ & \text { 240:10 } \\ & \text { folks }[7]-165: 4, \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 280:23 } \\ & \quad \text { excess }[1]-150: 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { expert [4]-220:22, } \\ \text { 221:1, 221:9, 253:10 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 143:19, 144:5, 145:4, } \\ & \text { 145:16, 146:4, 147:8, } \end{aligned}$ | $\text { 182:14, } 247: 16$ <br> finalizing [1] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 165:14, 252:12, } \\ & \text { 252:14, 252:15, } \end{aligned}$ |
| excuse [2]-139:10, 147:15 executive ${ }_{[2]}$ - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { expertise }[1] \text { - } \\ & \text { 245:21 } \\ & \text { explain [5] - 144:3, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 148:13, 149:20, } \\ & \text { 153:16, 156:20, } \\ & \text { 157:9, 157:13, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 234:20 } \\ & \text { finally }[2]-176: 1, \\ & 231: 22 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 252:17, } 252: 20 \\ & \text { follow }[4]-173: 14, \\ & 255: 9,263: 20,269: 19 \end{aligned}$ |
| 222:14, $251: 2$ exercise [1] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 183:14, 189:15, } \\ & \text { 194:15, 196:21 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 161:22, 161:23, } \\ & \text { 161:24, 162:1, 165:2, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { findings [2]-222:16, } \\ & 236: 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { follow-up [1] - } \\ & 063 \cdot 20 \end{aligned}$ |
| ercises [1] - 173:2 | explanation [1] - | 169:19, 170:21, | fine [3] - 173:1 | following [2] |
| Exhibit [14]-138:4, | 160:15 | 172:4, 178:20, | 251:3, 274:12 | 169:11, 186:11 |
| 138:18, 138:20 138:22, 146:14 | $159$ | 194:7, 196:3, 196:10 |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { follows [4]-137:14, } \\ 177 \cdot 2 \geqslant 20 \cdot 4247 \cdot 18 \end{array}$ |
| 150:7, 150:12, 154:9, | explored [4] | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 196:11, 200:5, } \\ & \text { 200:18, 203:6, 205:3, } \end{aligned}$ | 211:15, 283: | $\text { ot }[4] \text { - 146:23, }$ :17. 173:23. 174:8 |
| 236:17, 236:23 | 246:10, 247:7 | 206:6, 211:1, 215:15, | 144:23, 145:1, 150:5, | egoing [1] - 286:9 |
| 249:24, 282:7 | expressed | 215:19, 215:22, | 150:9, 156:21, | rever ${ }_{[1]}-216: 19$ |
| 150.7, 175:5, 218:10 | 189 | 216:18, 238:14, | 156:23, 157:1 | get $[1]$ - 206 |
| 156:7, 175:5, 218:10, | extend [1]-234:11 | 247:1, 252:18, 260 : | 157:21, 158:1 | got [1] - 155 |
| 241:6 | ded [3] | 265:5, | 170:20, 170:2 | - 247:18 |
| EXHIBITS [2] | 184:15, 242:14 | [ [2]-17 | 171:4, 171:22 | [1] - 156:11 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { 284:10, } 285: 1 \\ \text { exhibits [4] - } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 264:1 } \\ & \text { fast }[2]-250: 23 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 171:24, 182:10, } \\ & \text { 182:14, 211:7, } \end{aligned}$ | former ${ }_{[1]}$ - 151:5 |
| 151:1, 250:8, 274:8 | 231:13, 258:3 | 279:18 | 212:22, 213:2 | 264:1, 265:3, 265:5, |
| Exhibits [2]-151:7, |  | feasible [1] - 246:15 | $215: 22,216:$ | 266:18, 267:13 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 155:8 } \\ & \text { exist }[2]-143: 1, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 223:5, 232:19, } \\ & 240: 22,254: 23, \end{aligned}$ | February [3] - 235:7, $273: 24,283: 13$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Fire }[7]-156: 4 \text {, } \\ \text { 181:14, 181:18, } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 267:18 } \\ & \text { foundations } \end{aligned}$ |
| 233:21 existed [1] - 202:3 existence [2] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 264:15 } \\ & \text { extensively }[2] \\ & 223: 2,251: 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { feedback [1] - } \\ & \text { 215:23 } \\ & \text { feet }[51]-148: 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 182:3, 182:7, 214:24, } \\ & \text { 284:22 } \\ & \text { first [13]-137:23, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 178:6 } \\ & \text { four }{ }_{[1]}-198: 1 \\ & \text { fourth }[2]-227: 14, \end{aligned}$ |
| $143: 15,166: 20$ | extent $[1]-202: 7$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 150:1, 157:11, } \\ & \text { 162:24, 163:9, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 138:6, 139:1, 184:22, } \\ & \text { 186:12, 198:24, } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| existing [29] - 138:7 149:22, 149:23, | Exton [1] - 220:10 | $163: 13,163: 1$ | $220: 2,241: 22$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { friendly [2]-231:6, } \\ & \text { 231:12 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 152:17, 153:4 | F | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 163:15, 173:19, } \\ & \text { 173:24, 174:7, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 249: 19,249: 20, \\ & 250: 4,250: 8,2 \end{aligned}$ | front [16] - 145:5, |
| 182:4, 194:9, 194:11, |  | 186:16, 186:22, <br> 187:9, 189:3, 1 | fit $[1]-202: 21$ | 146:4, 157:13, <br> 161:15, 163:10 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 194:14, 195:1, 195:2, } \\ & \text { 195:8, 196:6, 199:1, } \end{aligned}$ | $163$ | $\begin{aligned} & 187: 9,189: 3,19 \\ & 190: 17,190: 20, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { five }[17]-143: 1 \\ \text { 143:15, } 146: 23, \end{gathered}$ | 163:14, 164:13, |
| 199:7, 202:8, 204:1, | facilities [4] | 191:2, 192:4, 192: | 162:24, 173:19 | :19, 179:17 |
| 211:18, 224:3, | 217:21, 222:23 | 192:7, 192:10, 193:7, | 173:23, 174:8, | 180:14, 180:15, |
| 224:18, 228:2C | $232: 15,272:!$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 193:8, 193:12, } \\ & 193: 15,193: 17 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 190:17, 191:1, 191:4, } \\ & \text { 193:7, 193:11, } \end{aligned}$ | $213: 9,213: 11$ |
| 276:15, 277:14, 278:7 | ing [1] - 139:1 | 193:19, 195:2 | 193:15, 219:1 | :23, 162:6, 162:9, |
| exists [3]-168:24, | fact [7] - 149:21, | $19$ | 219:14, 269:20 | 183:1, 191:11, 192:6 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 199:4, 279:14 } \\ & \text { exit [5] - 144:9 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 176:2, 185:13, 210:4, } \\ & 221: 18,222: 9,267: 6 \end{aligned}$ | 204:4, 205:7, 206:14, | five-foot [1] - 173:23 five-foot-wide [1] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { fruition }[1]-267: 24 \\ & \text { fuel }_{[11]}-142: 18, \end{aligned}$ |
| 228:18, 252:6, | factors [1] - 226:17 | 208:15, 208:16 | 146 | 4:8, |
| 253:21, 254:16 | ing ${ }_{[1]}-256: 17$ | 208:17, 211:22 | five-minute $\left.{ }^{1}\right]$ | $7: 5,157: 16$ |
| exiting [6] - 145:4, | fair [5] - 150:12 | 213:1, 213:5, 252:2, | $219: 11$ | 157:21, 158:1, 170:9, |
| 226:13, 229:4 | 151:10, 151:23, |  | flagged [1]-214 | 171:9, 177:8 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { 244:19, 251:16, 273:5 } \\ \text { expand }[3]-152: 2, \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 155:3, } 266: 17 \\ \text { fall }[1]-153: 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\text { fence }[3]-159$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { flammable [1] - } \\ & \text { 157:15 } \end{aligned}$ | ueling [1] - 222:22 <br> ull [21] - 154:16, |
| 176:8, 200:16 | s [1] - 233:7 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { 216:11, } 216: 13 \\ \text { few }[1]-261: 1 \end{array}$ | shing ${ }_{[1]}-271: 2$ | 166:9, 166:12, |
| expanded [3]-- | se [1] - 222:1 | fewer [1]-268: | flattens [1] - 195:10 | 190:23, 220:7, |
| $\begin{gathered} 140: 18,141: 9,208: 18 \\ \text { expect }[8]-171: 12, \end{gathered}$ | familiar [1]-221:5 <br> family [2] - 263:9, | ld [1] - 221:1 | shed [1] - 255:6 <br> pped $[1]-198: 24$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 227:21, 227:24, } \\ & \text { 228:2, 234:8, 246: } \end{aligned}$ |
| 253:4, 261:18, 264:7, | 269:2 | [1] -180 | or [1]-211:15 | 8:15, 248:2 |
| 264:16, 265:14, | far [10]-157:19 | gures [2] - 187:11, | tuates [1] - | 249:2, 249:12, 250:9, |
| 269:19, 281:21 | 164:6, 183:4, 196:5, |  | 163:11 | 250:13, 254:7, |


| 264:11, 264:17, | great [3]-182:12, | 196:7, 207:3, 279:18 | $211: 16,216: 12$ | 284:19, 284:21, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 267:4, 28 | 248:12, 257:2 | [1]-175:17 | ghts [1] - 211:2 | 285:12 |
| fully [1] - 157:6 | green [17]-230:7, | HATFIELD [72] | held [2] - 134:5, | hydrants [2] - |
| Fulton [1] - 143:5 | 230:8, 230:10, 245:9, | 134:15, 182:23 | 134:7 | 150:10, 158:14 |
| [1] - 260:21 | 254:17, 275:3, 275:5, | 183:10, 183:14 | help [6] - 160:24, |  |
| Furious [1] - 250:24 | 275:7, 275:10, | 184:7, 184:11, | 187:18, 206:23, |  |
| uture [6] - 180:18, | 275:12, 275:18 | 184:19, 185:2 | 251:13, 254:1, 266:2 |  |
| 183:17, 224:7, | 276:1, 276:15 | 185:24, 186:19 | helpful [2] - 202:14 | ideal ${ }_{[1]}$ - 179:17 |
| 229:10, 268:23, 278 | 27 |  |  | identified [8]-141:7, |
|  | Greenwood [1] | 187:10, 187:1 | helping [1] - 260:3 | 145:1 |
| G | 246 | 188:4, 188:11 | eby [1] - 286:8 | 149:1, 168 |
|  |  | 188:16, 188 | ein ${ }_{[1]}-220$ | 177:21, 182: |
| gallons [1] - 142 | 194:18, 194:19 | 189:4, 189:7, 18 | hereunto [1] | identify [4] - 139:2 |
| Gardens [1] - 270:11 gas [19]-150:22, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 194:21, 195:13, } \\ & \text { 196:23, 197:3, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 190:2, 190:4, 190:11, } \\ & \text { 191:1, 191:5, 191:15 } \end{aligned}$ | 286:15 | 144:2, 145:16, 171:13 identifying [1] - |
| 151:3, 151:14, | 197:20, 199:5, | 192:11, 194:4, | 225:7, 225:1 | 180:11 |
| 152:20, 170:5, | 199:14, 204:3, 282:22 | 194:24, 196:19 | gher [5] - 19 | iffy [2] - 167:2, 258:5 |
| 172:16, 172:24 | roundwater [1] - | 197:5, 197:12, | 196:1, 196:7, 199:6 | ustrate [2] - 144:3, |
| 173:1, 173:3, 173:7 | 166:22 | 197:19, 199:2 | 199:15 | 144:2 |
| 176:23, 176:24, | w [1] - 175 | 202:23, 203:19 | ghly [2]-250:19 | arine [1] - 273: |
| 177:1, 177:4, 177:23, | growth [2]-202:10, | 204:17, 205:2 | 256:1 | immediately [1] - |
| 179:23, 180:6, 225:3, | 268:24 | 205:15, 205:1 | highway | 195:5 |
| 225:16 | ess [14] - 169:8 | 206:3, 206:14 | 182:24, 229:16 | immensely [1] |
| oline [1] - 177:6 | 169:22, 170:1, 198:6, | 210:19, 213 | 240:19, 264:8, 270:18 | 245:21 |
| gather [1] - 174:1 | 199:8, 199:21, | 214:18, 215:14 | historically ${ }_{[1]}$ | impact [14]-200:19, |
| generally ${ }_{[1]}$ - | 202:23, 206:16 | 216:1, 271:23 | 231:1 | 200:21, 200:22, |
| 279:22 | 241:21, 247:10 | 272:12, 272:16 | hmm | 2:7, 222:9, 222:12, |
| era | 248:10, 257:19 | 274:23, 275:10 | HOA [2] - 207:2 | 222:18, 235:12 |
| 225:9, 225:18, 272:2 | 272:4, 282:17 | 275:16, 276:3, 276:5, | 208:4 | 235:18, 236:6, 237:1, |
| generates [2] - | guys [2]-245:23, | 276:24, 277:10 | Hockessin [1] | 249:16, 250:14, 270:5 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 225:5, 225:16 } \\ & \text { generation }[2] \end{aligned}$ | 256:8 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 278:5, 278:9, 278:1 } \\ & 278: 24,279: 7, \end{aligned}$ | 259:9 | impacted [1] - 206:2 <br> impacts [2] - 154:21, |
| 224:19, 262:14 | H | 279:13, 280: | lding [1] - 137 | 203:11 |
| Generation [1] - |  | 280:18, 280:22, | Holdings [1] | impediments [1] - |
| 224:23 | half [2] - 254:12 | 281:3, 282:6, 282:1 | 217:16 | 150:13 |
| Gerry ${ }_{[1]}$ - 258:17 | 274:20 138.10 | 282:16, 283:3 | holiday [1] - 270: | impervious [11] |
| Giant [1] - 164:7 | hand [6]-138:19, | tfield [1] - 145:12 | home [2] - 251:5, | 142:14, 184:20, |
| given [3]-160:16, | $183: 4,255: 1,259: 9$ <br> 281:10, 286:16 | Hatfield's [1] - 210:5 heading [2]-251:18, | 257:18 | $186: 13,187: 12$, $188 \cdot 5,188 \cdot 9,188 \cdot 17$ |
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| 229:6, 233:11, 239:15 | 275:7, 275:11, | 151:6, 245:21, | 138:18, 147:17, | rse [1] - 269:20 |
| veling [2] - | 275:14, 275:18 | 275:19, 281: | 147:20, 151:1, 157:5, | rsus [2]-245:10, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 251:24, 253:22 } \\ & \text { tree }[4]-139: 20, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 275:23 } \\ & \text { turning }[17]-138: 11, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { underground [2] - } \\ & \text { 144:9, 157:5 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 158:2, 158:23, } \\ & \text { 161:17, 161:19, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 276:16 } \\ & \text { vertical }{ }_{[2]} \text { - 194:16, } \end{aligned}$ |
| 160:7, 161:20, 176:4 | 138:12, 143:24, | underneath [2] | 164:24, 173:1 | 203:24 |
| ed [1] - 203:22 | 144:4, 144:16 | 170:4, 189:17 | 177:7, 181:13 | a [1] - 235:20 |
| trees [11]-159:21, | 144:19, 144:2 | understood [2] | 184:13, 185:1 | ww [1] - 195 |
| 160:18, 175:23, | 169:9, 169:12 | 184:8, 261:5 | 188:16, 191:11, | $\text { llage }[1]-240: 4$ |
| 175:24, 176:11 | 169:16, 169:23 | undertaking [1] - | 191:12, 191:2 | visible [1] - 256:14 |
| 176:16, 194:20, | 234:16, 240:12 | 169:6 | 195:19, 196:12, | $\text { sited }[1]-253: 16$ |
| 204:4, 204:5, 211:23, | 254:19, 273:7, | unexpectedly ${ }_{[1]}$ - | 196:21, 197:7, 197:10, 197:24 | visual [3]-200:22, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 282:23 } \\ & \text { trending }[1]-249: 6 \end{aligned}$ | turns [6] - 239:24, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 248:22 } \\ & \text { unfortunately [1] - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 197:10, 197:24, } \\ & \text { 199:9, 199:17, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 216:14, 270:19 } \\ & \text { volume }[1]-225: 7 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\text { tried [1] }-242: 18$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 246:6, 246:12, } 265: 8 \\ & 272: 18,272: 19 \end{aligned}$ | 164:5 ungrad | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 199:18, 204:20, } \\ & \text { 210:16, 215:17, } \end{aligned}$ | VOLUME ${ }_{[1]}$ - 134:4 |
| 262:14, 273:12, | two [37] -136:9 | $177: 12,177: 1$ | 216:23, 233:10 | luminous [1] - |
| 280:10, 280:17 | 139:17, 141:1, | 177:17, 177:2 | 234:13, 235:23, |  |
| Trip [1] - 224:23 trips [9]-225:17, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 142:19, 142:22 } \\ & \text { 142:23, 143:1, } \end{aligned}$ | Unionville [1] - 134:7 unit [3] - 200:6, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 236:2, 240:6, 258:6, } \\ & 260: 1,262: 6,263: 12, \end{aligned}$ | 147:19 |
| 225:21, 225:24, | $\begin{aligned} & 160: 18,167: 5,167: 6 \\ & 172: 5,176: 22,180: 2 \end{aligned}$ | 200:8, 240:19 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 263:20, 269:19, } \\ & \text { 270:14, 277:2, } \end{aligned}$ | W |
| $273: 4,273: 5,273: 6$ | 180:3, 187:11, 195:4, | 195:12, 195:16 | 279:24, 281:10 |  |
| truck [18]-138:10, | 195:17, 204:18, | 196:2, 196:16, 205:5, | update [2]-235:8 | 259:18, 262:12 |
| 138:12, 143:24, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 216:4, 221:17, } \\ & \text { 228:12, 230:13, } \end{aligned}$ | 205:8, 282:19 | 235:9 | $262: 13,278: 21$ |
| 144:4, 144:6, 144:15, | 231:3, 233:9, 233:16, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { unknown [1] } \\ & \text { 269:16 } \end{aligned}$ | updated [1]-261:13 upper ${ }_{[1]}$ - 138:18 | waiting [12]-170:11, |
| 144:24, 156:22 | 233:17, 233:21, | 269:16 ${ }_{\text {unleaded }}{ }_{\text {[1] }}$ | upstream [1] - | 170:13, 170:16, |
| 157:22, 170:20 | 234:5, 239:3, 2 | unless [4]-135:11, | 235:18 |  |
| 170:24, 171:4, | 243:7, 243:9, 248:10, | 229:2, 246:24, 249:7 | ban [1]-175:2 | 255:11, 255:14 |
| 171:22, 182:10, | 257:17, 261:3, | unpersoned [1] | urban-type ${ }_{[1]}$ - | $255: 21,255: 24,$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 211:7, 212:23 } \\ & \text { true }[4]-205: 15 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 272:21, 275:2 } \\ & \text { two-phase } \end{aligned}$ | 172:14 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 175:21 } \\ & \text { uses [5] - 225:3, } \end{aligned}$ | 262:24 |
| 205:19, 206:4, 286:10 | 275:2 | 134:18, 135 | 225:6, 225:9, 226:5 | $\text { 238:10, } 242:$ |
| try [7]-146:8, | type [15] - 158:10, | $135: 10,135: 21$ | 272:21 | Wal-Mart [3] |
| 189:15, 200:1, 219:4, | $167: 8,175: 21,177: 8$ $215: 1,218: 12,229$ | 137:7, 137:11, | utilities [2] - 138:9, | 213:17, 238:10, 242:2 |
| 244:8, 279:22, 282:10 | 215:1, 218:12, | 137:18, 141:21 | 153:11 | walk [4]-165:1, |
| trying [6] - 194:21, | 253:7, 254:22, 256:9, | 147:15, 147:24, | utilize [2]-253:2 | 197:7, 197:10, 255:12 |
| 202:5, 244:3, $244: 4$, $272: 12,280: 8$ | 253:7, 254:22, 256:9, 256:12, 272:10 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 148:8, 181:9, 185:22, } \\ & \text { 189:24, 190:3, 201:7, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 274:21 } \\ & \text { utilizing [1] - 238:19 } \end{aligned}$ | walked [2]-155:21, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 272:12, 280:8 } \\ & \text { turn [51] - 170:22, } \end{aligned}$ | types [4]-225:6, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 189:24, 190:3, 201:7, } \\ & \text { 202:13, 207:18, } \end{aligned}$ | utilizing [1] - 238:19 | 197:6 |
| 172:6, 227:19, 228:5, | 225:9, 271:9, 279:23 | 208:6, 209:10, | V | 81:12, 181:22, |
| 228:13, 228:20, | typical [3]-177:9, | 209:14, 209:19, |  | 182:12, 182:21, |
| 228:23, 229:6, 230:8, | 224:5, 254:13 | 209:22, 210:7, | Vandemark [1] - | 204:15, 205:10, |
|  | typically [7]-159:22, | 210:15, 212:10, | 284:13 | 205:16, 205:20, |



